Conference Engrossed

State of Arizona House of Representatives Forty-seventh Legislature Second Regular Session 2006

## **CHAPTER 4**

## **HOUSE BILL 2064**

## AN ACT

AMENDING SECTION 15-241, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; REPEALING SECTION 15-756, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; RENUMBERING SECTION 15-756.01, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, AS SECTION 15-757; AMENDING TITLE 15, CHAPTER 7, ARTICLE 3.1, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING NEW SECTIONS 15-756 AND 15-756.01 AND SECTIONS 15-756.02 THROUGH 15-756.13; AMENDING SECTIONS 15-910, 15-943 AND 41-1279.03, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; MAKING APPROPRIATIONS; RELATING TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS; PROVIDING FOR CONDITIONAL ENACTMENT.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

1 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona: 2 Section 1. Section 15-241, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to 3 read: 4 15-241. School accountability: schools failing to meet academic 5 standards: failing schools tutoring fund A. The department of education shall compile an annual achievement 6 7 profile for each public school. 8 B. Each school shall submit to the department any data that is 9 required and requested and that is necessary to compile the achievement 10 profile. A school that fails to submit the information that is necessary is 11 not eligible to receive monies from the classroom site fund established by 12 section 15-977. 13 C. The department shall establish a baseline achievement profile for 14 each school by October 15, 2001. The baseline achievement profile shall be 15 used to determine a standard measurement of acceptable academic progress for 16 each school and a school classification pursuant to subsection G of this 17 section. Any disclosure of educational records compiled by the department of 18 education pursuant to this section shall comply with the family educational 19 and privacy rights act of 1974 (20 United States Code section 1232g). 20 D. The achievement profile for schools that offer instruction in 21 kindergarten programs and grades one through eight, or any combination of 22 those programs or grades, shall include the following school academic 23 performance indicators: 24 1. The Arizona measure of academic progress. The department shall 25 compute the extent of academic progress made by the pupils in each school 26 during the course of each year. 27 2. The Arizona instrument to measure standards test. The department 28 shall compute the percentage of pupils who meet or exceed the standard on the 29 Arizona instrument to measure standards test, as prescribed by the state 30 board of education. 31 3. THE RESULTS OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS TESTS ADMINISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-756, SUBSECTION B, SECTION 15-756.05 AND SECTION 32 33 15-756.06. 34 E. The achievement profile for schools that offer instruction in 35 grades nine through twelve, or any combination of those grades, shall include 36 the following school academic performance indicators: 37 1. The Arizona instrument to measure standards test. The department 38 shall compute the percentage of pupils pursuant to subsection F of this 39 section who meet or exceed the standard on the Arizona instrument to measure 40 standards test, as prescribed by the state board of education. 41 The annual dropout rate. 2. 42 The annual graduation rate. 3. 43 THE RESULTS OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS TESTS ADMINISTERED 4. 44 PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-756, SUBSECTION B, SECTION 15-756.05 AND SECTION 45 15-756.06.

1 F. Subject to final adoption by the state board of education, the 2 department shall determine the criteria for each school classification using 3 a research based methodology. The methodology shall include the performance of pupils at all achievement levels, account for pupil mobility, account for 4 5 the distribution of pupil achievement at each school and include longitudinal indicators of academic performance. For the purposes of this subsection, 6 7 "research based methodology" means the systematic and objective application 8 of statistical and quantitative research principles to determine a standard 9 measurement of acceptable academic progress for each school.

10 G. The achievement profile shall be used to determine a school 11 classification that designates each school as one of the following:

- 12
- 1. An excelling school.

13

- 2. A highly performing school.
- 14 3. A performing school.
- 15
- An underperforming school.

16

5. A school failing to meet academic standards.

H. The classification for each school and the criteria used to
 determine classification pursuant to subsection F of this section shall be
 included on the school report card prescribed in section 15-746.

I. Subject to final adoption by the state board of education, the department of education shall develop a parallel achievement profile for accommodation schools, alternative schools as defined by the state board of education and schools with a student count of fewer than one hundred pupils.

24 If a school is designated as an underperforming school, within J. 25 ninety days after receiving notice of the designation, the governing board 26 shall develop an improvement plan for the school, submit a copy of the plan 27 to the superintendent of public instruction and supervise the implementation 28 of the plan. The plan shall include necessary components as identified by 29 the state board of education. Within thirty days after submitting the 30 improvement plan to the superintendent of public instruction, the governing 31 board shall hold a special public meeting in each school that has been 32 designated as an underperforming school and shall present the respective 33 improvement plans that have been developed for each school. The school 34 district governing board, within thirty days of receiving notice of the 35 designation, shall provide written notification of the classification to each 36 residence within the attendance area of the school. The notice shall explain 37 the improvement plan process and provide information regarding the public 38 meeting required by this subsection.

39 K. A school that has not submitted an improvement plan pursuant to 40 subsection J of this section is not eligible to receive monies from the 41 classroom site fund established by section 15-977 for every day that a plan 42 has not been received by the superintendent of public instruction within the 43 time specified in subsection J of this section plus an additional ninety 44 days. The state board of education shall require the superintendent of the 45 school district to testify before the board and explain the reasons that an 46 improvement plan for that school has not been submitted.

1 L. If a charter school is designated as an underperforming school, 2 within thirty days the school shall notify the parents of the students 3 attending the school of the classification. The notice shall explain the 4 improvement plan process and provide information regarding the public meeting 5 required by this subsection. Within ninety days of receiving the classification, the charter holder shall present an improvement plan to the 6 charter sponsor at a public meeting and submit a copy of the plan to the 7 8 superintendent of public instruction. The improvement plan shall include 9 necessary components as identified by the state board of education. For 10 every day that an improvement plan is not received by the superintendent of 11 public instruction, the school is not eligible to receive monies from the 12 classroom site fund established by section 15-977 for ninety days plus every 13 day that a plan is not received. The charter holder shall appear before the 14 sponsoring board and explain why the improvement plan has not been submitted.

M. The department of education shall establish an appeals process, to be approved by the state board of education, for a school to appeal data used to determine the achievement profile of the school. The criteria established shall be based on mitigating factors and may include a visit to the school site by the department of education.

N. If a school remains classified as an underperforming school for a third consecutive year, the department of education shall visit the school site to confirm the classification data and to review the implementation of the school's improvement plan. The school shall be classified as failing to meet academic standards unless an alternate classification is made after an appeal pursuant to subsection M of this section.

0. The school district governing board, within thirty days of receiving notice of the school failing to meet academic standards classification, shall provide written notification of the classification to each residence in the attendance area of the school. The notice shall explain the improvement plan process and provide information regarding the public meeting required by subsection R of this section.

32 P. The superintendent of public instruction, based on need, shall 33 assign a solutions team to an underperforming school or a school failing to meet academic standards comprised of master teachers, fiscal analysts and 34 35 curriculum assessment experts who are certified by the state board of 36 education as Arizona academic standards technicians. The department of 37 education may hire or contract with administrators, principals and teachers 38 who have demonstrated experience with the characteristics and situations in 39 an underperforming school or a school failing to meet academic standards and 40 may use these personnel as part of the solutions team. The team shall work 41 with staff at the school to assist in curricula alignment and shall instruct 42 teachers on how to increase pupil academic progress, considering the school's 43 achievement profile. The team shall select two master teachers to be 44 employed by the school. The solutions team shall consider the existing 45 improvement plan to assess the need for changes to curriculum, professional 46 development and resource allocation.

1 Q. The parent or the guardian of the pupil may apply to the department 2 of education, in a manner determined by the department of education, for a 3 certificate of supplemental instruction from the failing schools tutoring fund established by this section. Pupils attending a school designated as an 4 5 underperforming school or a school failing to meet academic standards or a pupil who has failed to pass one or more portions of the Arizona instrument 6 7 to measure standards test in order to graduate from high school may select an 8 alternative tutoring program in academic standards from a provider that is 9 certified by the state board of education. To qualify, the provider must guarantee in writing a stated level of academic improvement for the pupil 10 11 that includes a timeline for improvement that is agreed to by the parent or 12 guardian of the pupil and the provider shall agree to refund to the state the 13 standards assistance grant monies if the guaranteed level of academic 14 improvement is not met. The state board of education shall determine the 15 application guidelines and the maximum value for each certificate of The state board of education shall annually 16 supplemental instruction. 17 complete a market survey in order to determine the maximum value for each 18 certificate of supplemental instruction. Nothing in this subsection shall be 19 construed to require the state to provide additional monies beyond the monies 20 provided pursuant to section 42-5029, subsection E, paragraph 7.

21 R. Within sixty days of receiving notification of designation as a 22 school failing to meet academic standards, the school district governing 23 board shall evaluate needed changes to the existing improvement plan for the 24 school, consider recommendations from the solutions team, submit a copy of 25 the plan to the superintendent of public instruction and supervise the 26 implementation of the plan. Within thirty days after submitting the 27 improvement plan to the superintendent of public instruction, the governing 28 board shall hold a public meeting in each school that has been designated as 29 a school failing to meet academic standards and shall present the respective 30 improvement plans that have been developed for each school.

31 S. A school that has not submitted an improvement plan pursuant to 32 subsection R of this section is not eligible to receive monies from the 33 classroom site fund established by section 15-977 for every day that a plan 34 has not been received by the superintendent of public instruction within the 35 time specified in subsection R of this section plus an additional ninety 36 days. The state board of education shall require the superintendent of the 37 school district to testify before the board and explain the reasons that an 38 improvement plan for that school has not been submitted.

39 T. If a charter school is designated as a school failing to meet 40 academic standards, the department of education shall immediately notify the 41 charter school's sponsor. The charter school's sponsor shall either take 42 action to restore the charter school to acceptable performance or revoke the 43 charter school's charter. Within thirty days the school shall notify the 44 parents of the students attending the school of the classification and of any 45 pending public meetings to review the issue.

1 U. A school that has been designated as a school failing to meet 2 academic standards shall be evaluated by the department of education to 3 determine if the school failed to properly implement its school improvement plan, the alignment of the curriculum with academic standards, teacher 4 5 training, budget prioritization or other proven strategies to improve 6 academic performance. After visiting the school site pursuant to subsection 7 N of this section, the department of education shall submit to the state 8 board of education a recommendation to proceed pursuant to subsections P, Q 9 and R of this section or that the school be subject to a public hearing to determine if the school failed to properly implement its improvement plan and 10 11 the reasons for the department's recommendation.

12 If the department does recommend a public hearing, the state board ۷. 13 of education shall meet and may provide by a majority vote at the public hearing for the continued operation of the school as allowed by this 14 15 subsection. The state board of education shall determine whether 16 governmental, nonprofit and private organizations may submit applications to 17 the state board to fully or partially manage the school. The state board's 18 determination shall include:

19 1. If and to what extent the local governing board may participate in 20 the operation of the school including personnel matters.

21 2. If and to what extent the state board of education shall 22 participate in the operation of the school.

23

3. Resource allocation pursuant to subsection X of this section.

24 4. Provisions for the development and submittal of a school 25 improvement plan to be presented in a public meeting at the school.

26

5. A suggested time frame for the alternative operation of the school.

27 Ψ. The state board shall periodically review the status of a school 28 that is operated by an organization other than the school district governing 29 board to determine whether the operation of the school should be returned to 30 the school district governing board. Before the state board makes a 31 determination, the state board or its designee shall meet with the school 32 district governing board or its designee to determine the time frame, 33 operational considerations and the appropriate continuation of existing 34 improvements that are necessary to assure a smooth transition of authority 35 from the other organization back to the school district governing board.

36 X. If an alternative operation plan is provided pursuant to subsection 37 V of this section, the state board of education shall pay for the operation 38 of the school and shall adjust the school district's student count pursuant 39 to section 15-902, soft capital allocation pursuant to section 15-962, 40 capital outlay revenue limit pursuant to section 15-961, base support level 41 pursuant to section 15-943, monies distributed from the classroom site fund 42 established in BY section 15-977 and transportation support level pursuant to 43 section 15-945 to accurately reflect any reduction in district services that 44 are no longer provided to that school by the district. The state board of 45 education may modify the school district's revenue control limit, the district support level and the general budget limit calculated pursuant to 46

section 15-947 by an amount that corresponds to this reduction in services. The state board of education shall retain the portion of state aid that would otherwise be due the school district for the school and shall distribute that portion of state aid directly to the organization that contracts with the state board of education to operate the school.

6 Y. If the state board of education determines that a charter school 7 failed to properly implement its improvement plan, the sponsor of the charter 8 school shall revoke the charter school's charter.

9 Z. If there are more than two schools in a district and more than 10 one-half, or in any case more than five, of the schools in the district are 11 designated as schools failing to meet academic standards for more than two 12 consecutive years, in the next election of members of the governing board the 13 election ballot shall contain the following statement immediately above the 14 listing of governing board candidates:

15 16

17

18

Within the last five years, <u>(number of schools)</u> schools in the \_\_\_\_\_\_ school district have been designated as "schools failing to meet academic standards" by the superintendent of public instruction.

AA. At least twice each year the department of education shall publish in a newspaper of general circulation in each county of this state a list of schools that are designated as schools failing to meet academic standards.

BB. The failing schools tutoring fund is established consisting of monies collected pursuant to section 42-5029, subsection E as designated for this purpose. The department of education shall administer the fund.

25

26

27

Sec. 2. <u>Repeal</u> Section 15–756, Arizona Revised Statutes, is repealed.

Sec. 3. <u>Renumber</u>

28 Section 15-756.01, Arizona Revised Statutes, is renumbered as section 29 15-757.

Sec. 4. Title 15, chapter 7, article 3.1, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended by adding new sections 15-756 and 15-756.01 and sections 15-756.02 through 15-756.13, to read:

33

15-756. <u>Identification of English language learners</u>

A. THE PRIMARY OR HOME LANGUAGE FOR ALL NEW PUPILS WHO ENROLL IN A
 SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL SHALL BE IDENTIFIED IN A MANNER PRESCRIBED
 BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

37 B. THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY OF ALL PUPILS WITH A PRIMARY OR 38 HOME LANGUAGE OTHER THAN ENGLISH SHALL BE ASSESSED THROUGH THE ADMINISTRATION 39 OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY ASSESSMENTS IN A MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE 40 SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION. THE TEST SCORES ADOPTED BY THE 41 SUPERINTENDENT AS INDICATING ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY SHALL BE BASED ON 42 THE TEST PUBLISHERS' DESIGNATED SCORES. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ANNUALLY 43 REQUEST AN APPROPRIATION TO PAY FOR THE PURCHASE OF ALL LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY 44 ASSESSMENTS, SCORING AND ANCILLARY MATERIALS AS PRESCRIBED BY THE DEPARTMENT 45 FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS.

1 C. IF IT IS DETERMINED THAT A PUPIL IS NOT ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENT, THE PUPIL SHALL BE CLASSIFIED AS AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER AND 2 3 SHALL BE ENROLLED IN AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-752 OR 15-753. 4 5 15-756.01. Arizona English language learners task force: research based models of structured English 6 7 immersion for English language learners; budget 8 requests; definitions 9 A. THE ARIZONA ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS TASK FORCE IS ESTABLISHED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. THE TASK FORCE SHALL CONSIST OF: 10 11 1. THREE MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC 12 INSTRUCTION. 13 2. TWO MEMBERS WHO ARE APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR. TWO MEMBERS WHO ARE APPOINTED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE. 14 3. 15 TWO MEMBERS WHO ARE APPOINTED BY THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF 4. 16 **REPRESENTATIVES.** 17 B. MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE SHALL SERVE FOUR YEAR TERMS. MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE SHALL ELECT A CHAIRPERSON FROM AMONG THE MEMBERS OF THE TASK 18 19 FORCE. THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE STAFF SUPPORT FOR 20 THE TASK FORCE. 21 C. BY SEPTEMBER 1, 2006, THE TASK FORCE SHALL DEVELOP AND ADOPT 22 RESEARCH BASED MODELS OF STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION PROGRAMS FOR USE BY 23 SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS. THE MODELS SHALL TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION AT LEAST THE SIZE OF THE SCHOOL, THE LOCATION OF THE SCHOOL. 24 25 THE GRADE LEVELS AT THE SCHOOL, THE NUMBER OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS AND 26 THE PERCENTAGE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS. THE MODELS SHALL BE LIMITED TO 27 PROGRAMS FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS TO PARTICIPATE IN A STRUCTURED ENGLISH 28 IMMERSION PROGRAM NOT NORMALLY INTENDED TO EXCEED ONE YEAR. THE TASK FORCE 29 SHALL IDENTIFY THE MINIMUM AMOUNT OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT PER DAY FOR ALL MODELS. THE TASK FORCE SHALL DEVELOP SEPARATE MODELS FOR THE FIRST YEAR 30 31 IN WHICH A PUPIL IS CLASSIFIED AS AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER THAT INCLUDES A MINIMUM OF FOUR HOURS PER DAY OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT. 32 33 D. THE RESEARCH BASED MODELS OF STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION ADOPTED 34 BY THE TASK FORCE SHALL BE THE MOST COST-EFFICIENT MODELS THAT MEET ALL STATE 35 AND FEDERAL LAWS. E. THE RESEARCH BASED MODELS OF STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION SHALL BE 36 37 LIMITED TO A REGULAR SCHOOL YEAR AND SCHOOL DAY. INSTRUCTION OUTSIDE THE 38 REGULAR SCHOOL YEAR OR SCHOOL DAY SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH COMPENSATORY 39 INSTRUCTION AND MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING FROM THE STATEWIDE COMPENSATORY 40 INSTRUCTION FUND ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-756.11. 41 F. THE RESEARCH BASED MODELS OF STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION SHALL BE 42 SUBMITTED BY THE TASK FORCE TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE, THE SPEAKER OF 43 THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, THE GOVERNOR AND THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION. AT LEAST THIRTY DAYS BEFORE ADOPTION. THE TASK FORCE SHALL SUBMIT THE 44 45 RESEARCH BASED MODELS OF STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION TO THE JOINT 46 LEGISLATIVE BUDGET COMMITTEE FOR REVIEW.

G. THE TASK FORCE SHALL REVIEW RESEARCH BASED MODELS OF STRUCTURED
ENGLISH IMMERSION ANNUALLY AND DELETE, ADD OR MODIFY THE EXISTING MODELS.
WHEN ADOPTING OR MODIFYING ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAMS, THE TASK FORCE
SHALL REVIEW AND CONSIDER THE INFORMATION AND DATA OBTAINED AS A RESULT OF
THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION'S MONITORING OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAMS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-756.08.

7 H. THE TASK FORCE SHALL ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND
8 CHARTER SCHOOLS TO DETERMINE THE INCREMENTAL COSTS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
9 RESEARCH BASED MODELS OF STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION DEVELOPED BY THE TASK
10 FORCE.

11 I. THE TASK FORCE SHALL ESTABLISH A FORM FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND 12 CHARTER SCHOOLS TO DETERMINE THE STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION BUDGET REQUEST 13 AMOUNT. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER LAW, THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF THE BUDGET 14 REQUEST SHALL BE THE INCREMENTAL COSTS OF THE MODEL SELECTED OFFSET BY THE 15 FOLLOWING MONIES:

ALL FEDERAL TITLE III MONIES AND ANY OTHER FEDERAL MONIES
 DESIGNATED SOLELY FOR THE EDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS.

18 2. THE PORTION OF TITLE I AND TITLE II A MONIES DETERMINED BY THE
19 ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER POPULATION AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE QUALIFIED
20 POPULATION.

3. THE PORTION OF IMPACT AID MONIES DETERMINED BY THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE
 LEARNER POPULATION AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE QUALIFIED POPULATION. A SCHOOL
 DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL SHALL ONLY APPLY UNEXPENDED IMPACT AID MONIES TO
 ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAMS AFTER IT HAS APPLIED ITS IMPACT AID MONIES
 FOR OTHER ALLOWABLE USES AS PERMITTED BY STATE LAW.

4. THE PORTION OF DESEGREGATION MONIES LEVIED PURSUANT TO SECTION
15-910 DETERMINED BY THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER POPULATION AS A PERCENTAGE
0F THE QUALIFIED POPULATION.

29

44

5. THE ELL SUPPORT LEVEL WEIGHT PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 15-943.

30 J. THE DIFFERENCE CALCULATED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION I OF THIS SECTION 31 SHALL BE THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF THE STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION BUDGET 32 REQUEST PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-756.03 FOR MONIES FROM THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED 33 ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-756.04. BEGINNING JULY 15. 2008, SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS SHALL NOT INCLUDE THE INCREMENTAL 34 35 COSTS OF ANY PUPIL WHO IS CLASSIFIED AS AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER AFTER JULY 1, 2007 AND WHO HAS BEEN CLASSIFIED AS AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER FOR 36 37 MORE THAN TWO YEARS IN THE CALCULATION OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT'S OR CHARTER 38 SCHOOL'S STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION BUDGET REQUEST.

K. IN CONSULTATION WITH THE AUDITOR GENERAL, THE DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION SHALL DEVELOP AND ADOPT FORMS TO BE USED BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND
CHARTER SCHOOLS TO SUBMIT BUDGET REQUESTS FOR THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH
IMMERSION FUND, INCLUDING THE FORM PRESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION I OF THIS
SECTION.

L. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION:

45 1. "COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION" HAS THE SAME MEANING PRESCRIBED IN46 SECTION 15-756.11.

1 2	2. "INCREMENTAL COSTS" MEANS COSTS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH A STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION PROGRAM PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-752 OR A PROGRAM
3	PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-753 AND THAT ARE IN ADDITION TO THE NORMAL COSTS OF
4	CONDUCTING PROGRAMS FOR ENGLISH PROFICIENT STUDENTS. INCREMENTAL COSTS DO
5	NOT INCLUDE COSTS THAT REPLACE THE SAME TYPES OF SERVICES PROVIDED TO ENGLISH
6	PROFICIENT STUDENTS OR COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION.
7	15–756.02. <u>School districts and charter schools; English</u>
8	language learner models; adoption and
9	implementation
10	A. EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD AND EACH GOVERNING BODY OF A
11	CHARTER SCHOOL SHALL SELECT ONE OR MORE OF THE TASK FORCE APPROVED MODELS FOR
12 13	STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FOR IMPLEMENTATION ON A SCHOOL BY SCHOOL BASIS.
13 14	B. IF A SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL WANTS TO ADOPT AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAM THAT IS NOT BASED ON A MODEL ADOPTED BY THE TASK
14 15	FORCE. THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL SHALL FIRST SUBMIT THE PROPOSED
16	PROGRAM ALONG WITH SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION REGARDING THE EXPECTED OUTCOMES
17	OF THE PROGRAM ON THE DISTRICT'S OR CHARTER SCHOOL'S ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER
18	STUDENTS TO THE TASK FORCE FOR APPROVAL.
19	C. ON RECEIPT OF A PROPOSED PROGRAM FROM A SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER
20	SCHOOL, THE TASK FORCE MAY DO ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:
21	1. APPROVE THE PROPOSED PROGRAM.
22	2. PROVIDE LIMITED APPROVAL SUBJECT TO SPECIFIC STIPULATIONS
23	PRESCRIBED BY THE STATE BOARD.
24	3. REJECT THE PROPOSED PROGRAM AND IDENTIFY A MODEL APPROVED BY THE
25	TASK FORCE FOR THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL TO ADOPT.
26	D. SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS SHALL INCLUDE A COPY OF THE
27	ADOPTED ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAM IN THE ANNUAL REPORT REQUIRED IN
28	SECTION 15-756.10.
29	15–756.03. <u>Structured English immersion: budget request</u>
30	A. IF A SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL QUALIFIES TO SUBMIT A BUDGET
31	REQUEST BASED ON THE FORM PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 15-756.01, SUBSECTION I, THE
32	SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL SHALL SUBMIT A STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION
33	BUDGET REQUEST ON A SCHOOL BY SCHOOL BASIS. THE BUDGET REQUEST SHALL BE FOR
34 25	A SPECIFIC AMOUNT OF SUPPLEMENTAL MONIES FROM THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH
35	IMMERSION FUND ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-756.04. B. EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION
36 37	BUDGET REQUEST SHALL INCLUDE THE SIGNATURE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF THE
38	SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR THE
38 39	PRINCIPAL OF THE CHARTER SCHOOL AND THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OF THE
40	CHARTER SCHOOL THAT CERTIFIES THAT THE INFORMATION IN THE BUDGET REQUEST IS
41	TRUE TO THE BEST OF THAT PERSON'S KNOWLEDGE AND HAS BEEN CALCULATED IN
42	ACCORDANCE WITH THE FORM PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 15-756.01, SUBSECTION I AND
43	THAT MONIES FROM THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND ESTABLISHED
44	BY SECTION 15-756.04 WILL NOT BE USED TO SUPPLANT ANY FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL
45	MONIES, INCLUDING DESEGREGATION MONIES LEVIED PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-910,

USED FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS THAT WERE BUDGETED FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE
 LEARNERS AS OF FEBRUARY 23, 2006.

3 C. BEGINNING JULY 1, 2007, EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT AND CHARTER SCHOOL THAT SUBMITS A STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION BUDGET REQUEST SHALL SUBMIT THE 4 5 BUDGET REQUEST TO THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ANNUALLY ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 15. THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL VERIFY THE BUDGET REQUEST OF 6 7 EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT AND CHARTER SCHOOL FOR ACCURACY AND COMPLIANCE WITH THE FORM PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 15-756.01, SUBSECTION I. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL 8 9 COLLECT ALL SCHOOL DISTRICT AND CHARTER SCHOOL BUDGET REQUESTS AND SUBMIT THEM TO THE LEGISLATURE FOR FUNDING FROM THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH 10 11 IMMERSION FUND ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-756.04 AT THE SAME TIME AS THE 12 DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET REQUEST.

13

15-756.04. Arizona structured English immersion fund

14A. THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND IS ESTABLISHED. THE15DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL ADMINISTER THE FUND.

16 B. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL SUBMIT AN ANNUAL REQUEST FOR AN APPROPRIATION 17 FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION.

C. IN ADDITION TO THE ELL SUPPORT LEVEL WEIGHT PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 18 19 15-943, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL DISTRIBUTE MONIES FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL 20 DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS IN AN AMOUNT SPECIFIED IN THE BUDGET REQUEST 21 PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 15-756.03. SUBSECTION C. MONIES FROM THE FUND 22 ESTABLISHED BY THIS SECTION AND MONIES FOR THE ELL SUPPORT LEVEL WEIGHT 23 PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 15-943 SHALL NOT BE DISTRIBUTED FOR MORE THAN TWO 24 FISCAL YEARS FOR THE SAME PUPIL. NOTHING IN THIS SUBSECTION SHALL BE 25 CONSTRUED TO PROHIBIT A SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL FROM RECEIVING 26 MONIES FROM THE STATEWIDE COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION FUND ESTABLISHED BY 27 SECTION 15-756.11 FOR MORE THAN TWO FISCAL YEARS FOR THE SAME PUPIL.

D. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION SHALL ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN
 THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF FEDERAL FUNDING THAT IS AVAILABLE FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE
 LEARNER PROGRAMS.

31 E. EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT AND CHARTER SCHOOL SHALL ESTABLISH A LOCAL 32 LEVEL STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND TO RECEIVE MONIES DISTRIBUTED FROM 33 THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND. MONIES IN LOCAL LEVEL 34 STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FUNDS SHALL BE SPENT ONLY TO PROVIDE INSTRUCTION 35 TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS. THE AUDITOR GENERAL SHALL MODIFY THE BUDGET FORMAT, FINANCIAL RECORD REQUIREMENTS, ACCOUNTING FORMS AND FINANCIAL REPORT 36 37 FORMS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SUBSECTION. IN CONSULTATION WITH THE 38 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, THE AUDITOR GENERAL SHALL PROVIDE SUPPORT AND 39 GUIDANCE TO ASSIST SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS IN COMPLYING WITH 40 THIS SUBSECTION. DOCUMENTS DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION SHALL BE 41 REVIEWED BY THE ARIZONA ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS TASK FORCE ESTABLISHED BY 42 SECTION 15-756.01 AND THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE BUDGET COMMITTEE.

F. SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS SHALL USE MONIES FROM THE FUND
TO SUPPLEMENT EXISTING PROGRAMS FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS. MONIES FROM
THE FUND SHALL NOT BE USED TO SUPPLANT AVAILABLE MONIES USED TO PAY FOR THE
NORMAL COSTS OF CONDUCTING PROGRAMS FOR ENGLISH PROFICIENT STUDENTS.

1	15–756.05. Reassessment and reclassification of English
2	<u>language learners</u>
3	A. THE PROCESS OF REASSESSMENT OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOR THE
4	PURPOSE OF DETERMINING ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY SHALL BE CONDUCTED AT
5	LEAST ANNUALLY AT THE END OF EACH SCHOOL YEAR IN A MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE
6	SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.
7	B. A PUPIL WHO SCORES AT OR ABOVE THE TEST PUBLISHER'S DESIGNATED
8	SCORE FOR ENGLISH PROFICIENCY SHALL BE CONSIDERED ENGLISH PROFICIENT. THE
9	PUPIL SHALL NO LONGER BE CLASSIFIED AS AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER AND SHALL
10	BE RECLASSIFIED AS ENGLISH PROFICIENT.
11	C. A PUPIL WHO HAS ATTAINED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY PURSUANT TO THIS
12	SECTION SHALL BE TRANSFERRED TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE MAINSTREAM CLASSROOMS.
13	15–756.06. <u>Reevaluation of former English language learners</u>
14	THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY OF EACH PUPIL PREVIOUSLY CLASSIFIED AS
15	AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER WITHIN THE LAST TWO YEARS SHALL BE TESTED
16	ANNUALLY AT THE END OF EACH SCHOOL YEAR, IN THE SAME MANNER AS PROVIDED IN
17	SECTION 15-756, SUBSECTION B. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION SHALL
18	PRESCRIBE THE MANNER IN WHICH PUPILS ARE REEVALUATED. PUPILS WHO FAIL TO
19	DEMONSTRATE ENGLISH PROFICIENCY ON THE REASSESSMENT TEST IN THE TWO YEARS
20	FOLLOWING THEIR EXIT FROM STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION, SUBJECT TO PARENTAL
21	CONSENT, SHALL BE REENROLLED IN STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION PURSUANT TO
22	SECTION 15-752 AND MAY BE PROVIDED COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION AS DEFINED IN
23	SECTION 15-756.11.
24	15-756.07. Office of English language acquisition services;
25	duties
26	THE OFFICE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION SERVICES IS ESTABLISHED IN
27	THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, OFFICE OF ENGLISH
28	LANGUAGE ACQUISITION SERVICES, SHALL:
29	1. DEVELOP GUIDELINES FOR MONITORING SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER
30	SCHOOLS TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS REGARDING
31	ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS.
32	2. IN CONSULTATION WITH COUNTY SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENTS, DEVELOP
33	REGIONAL PROGRAMS TO ENHANCE ALL ASPECTS OF TRAINING FOR TEACHERS AND
34	ADMINISTRATORS.
35	3. PUBLISH ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER POLICY GUIDELINES FOR SCHOOL
36	DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS THAT INCLUDE A LIST OF RELEVANT RULES,
37	REGULATIONS AND STATUTES RELATING TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAMS TO
38	NOTIFY SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES.
39	4. PROVIDE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER
40	SCHOOLS TO IMPLEMENT STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION PROGRAMS.
41	15–756.08. Monitoring; corrective action plan
42	A. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION SHALL DIRECT THE OFFICE OF
43	ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION SERVICES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO:
44	1. MONITOR EACH YEAR AT LEAST TWELVE SCHOOL DISTRICTS OR CHARTER
45	SCHOOLS FROM THE FIFTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS OR CHARTER SCHOOLS IN THIS STATE WITH
46	THE HIGHEST NUMBER OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS. THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
	- 11 -

SHALL MONITOR ALL FIFTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS OR CHARTER SCHOOLS WITH THE HIGHEST
 NUMBER OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS IN THIS STATE AT LEAST ONCE EVERY FOUR
 YEARS.

4 2. MONITOR EACH YEAR AT LEAST TEN SCHOOL DISTRICTS OR CHARTER SCHOOLS
5 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE FIFTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS OR CHARTER SCHOOLS
6 DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH 1.

7 3. MONITOR EACH YEAR AT LEAST TEN SCHOOL DISTRICTS OR CHARTER SCHOOLS
8 THAT ARE NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE INSTRUCTION FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS
9 FOR A MAJORITY OF THEIR GRADE LEVELS.

4. CHOOSE, BASED ON THE DEPARTMENT'S REVIEW OF REPORTS SUBMITTED BY
 SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS, THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER
 SCHOOLS DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 AND 3.

13 5. SELECT A RANDOM SAMPLE OF THREE HUNDRED ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS14 EACH MONTH TO DETERMINE:

15 (a) HOW MANY OF THE SAMPLE CAN READ THE RANDOMLY ORDERED ALPHABET IN 16 THIRTY SECONDS OR LESS.

17 (b) HOW MANY OF THE SAMPLE CAN READ A RANDOMLY SORTED LIST OF THIRTY18 SINGLE-SYLLABLE WORDS IN ONE MINUTE OR LESS.

B. THE MONITORING REQUIRED BY THIS SECTION SHALL BE ON-SITE MONITORING
AND SHALL INCLUDE CLASSROOM OBSERVATIONS, CURRICULUM REVIEWS, FACULTY
INTERVIEWS, STUDENT RECORDS, A REVIEW OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAMS
AND AN ANALYSIS OF PROGRAMMATIC EFFECTIVENESS, AT A MINIMUM, TO INCLUDE PRIOR
YEAR DATA THAT ANALYZE THE PROGRESS OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS.

C. BASED ON THE RESULTS OF THE MONITORING, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL
DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL IS COMPLYING
WITH STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS APPLICABLE TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS.

27D. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ISSUE A REPORT OF THE RESULTS OF THE28MONITORING WITHIN FORTY-FIVE DAYS AFTER COMPLETING THE MONITORING.

E. WITHIN SIXTY DAYS FOLLOWING THE ISSUANCE OF THE DEPARTMENT'S
REPORT, THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL RECEIVING THE REPORT SHALL
PREPARE AND SUBMIT TO THE DEPARTMENT A CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN, IN A MANNER
PRESCRIBED BY THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION, THAT SETS FORTH STEPS THAT WILL
BE TAKEN TO CORRECT THE DEFICIENCIES, IF ANY, NOTED IN THE DEPARTMENT'S
REPORT.

F. WITHIN THIRTY DAYS AFTER RECEIVING A SCHOOL DISTRICT'S OR CHARTER
 SCHOOL'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REVIEW THE CORRECTIVE
 ACTION PLAN AND MAY REQUIRE CHANGES TO THE CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN.

G. AFTER THE DEPARTMENT HAS REVIEWED A SCHOOL DISTRICT'S OR CHARTER
 SCHOOL'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN AND MADE ANY CHANGES THE DEPARTMENT DEEMS
 NECESSARY, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL RETURN THE CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN TO THE
 SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL.

H. WITHIN THIRTY DAYS AFTER RECEIVING A CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN BACK
FROM THE DEPARTMENT, THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL SHALL BEGIN
IMPLEMENTING THE MEASURES SET FORTH IN THE CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN.

1 I. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL CONDUCT A FOLLOW-UP EVALUATION OF THE SCHOOL 2 DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL WITHIN ONE YEAR AFTER THE DEPARTMENT RETURNED THE 3 CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN TO THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL.

J. IN CONDUCTING THE FOLLOW-UP EVALUATION, IF THE DEPARTMENT FINDS 4 5 THAT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS APPLICABLE TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS, THE DEPARTMENT 6 7 SHALL REFER THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL TO THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION FOR A FINDING OF NONCOMPLIANCE. A SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER 8 9 SCHOOL FOUND BY THE BOARD TO BE NONCOMPLIANT SHALL NOT CONTINUE TO RECEIVE ANY MONIES FROM THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND ESTABLISHED BY 10 11 SECTION 15-756.04 FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS AND SHALL NOT REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF MONIES SPENT ON THE SCHOOL DISTRICT'S OR CHARTER SCHOOL'S ENGLISH 12 13 LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAMS DESPITE THE LOSS OF MONIES CAUSED BY THE 14 NONCOMPLIANCE.

15 K. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL MONITOR EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL THAT THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION HAS FOUND TO BE NONCOMPLIANT AND THAT IS 16 17 NOT RECEIVING ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND MONIES PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION J OF THIS SECTION TO ENSURE THAT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER 18 19 SCHOOL DOES NOT REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF MONIES SPENT ON THE SCHOOL DISTRICT'S OR 20 CHARTER SCHOOL'S ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAMS DESPITE THE LOSS OF 21 ARIZONA STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND MONIES CAUSED ΒY THE 22 NONCOMPLIANCE.

23

15-756.09. <u>Teacher training</u>

A. THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION SHALL DETERMINE THE QUALIFICATIONS
 NECESSARY FOR A PROVISIONAL AND FULL STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION
 ENDORSEMENT.

B. TRAINING MAY BE ALLOWED THAT IS NOT PROVIDED BY A COLLEGE OR
UNIVERSITY TO SUBSTITUTE FOR ANY OF THE COURSES REQUIRED FOR A STRUCTURED
ENGLISH IMMERSION ENDORSEMENT OR A BILINGUAL EDUCATION ENDORSEMENT IF ALL OF
THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS APPLY:

THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION HAS REVIEWED THE CURRICULA, TEXTBOOKS,
 GRADING PROCEDURES AND ATTENDANCE POLICIES AND DETERMINED THAT THE TRAINING
 IS COMPARABLE IN AMOUNT, SCOPE AND QUALITY TO A COURSE OFFERED BY A COLLEGE
 OR UNIVERSITY FOR A STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION OR BILINGUAL EDUCATION
 ENDORSEMENT.

36 2. THE TRAINING MEETS THE PROFESSIONAL TEACHING STANDARDS ADOPTED BY37 THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION.

38 3. THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION HAS REVIEWED THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THE
 39 INSTRUCTOR AND DETERMINED THAT THE INSTRUCTOR HAS SUFFICIENT EXPERIENCE TO
 40 EFFECTIVELY CONDUCT THE TRAINING.

C. THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION SHALL REQUIRE ALL APPROVED TEACHER
TRAINING PROGRAMS THAT PROVIDE A DEGREE IN EDUCATION TO REQUIRE COURSES THAT
ARE NECESSARY TO OBTAIN A FULL STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION ENDORSEMENT.

44 15-756.10. <u>Reporting</u>

45 THE OFFICE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION SERVICES IN THE DEPARTMENT 46 OF EDUCATION SHALL:

45

46

REQUIRE EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT AND CHARTER SCHOOL TO ANNUALLY SUBMIT
 A REPORT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION THAT INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING
 INFORMATION IDENTIFIED BY GRADE LEVEL AND BY SCHOOL:

4 (a) THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PUPILS WHO ARE CLASSIFIED AS ENGLISH LANGUAGE
5 LEARNERS AS VERIFIED BY THE STUDENT ACCOUNTABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM
6 ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-1041.

7 (b) THE NUMBER OF PUPILS WHO ARE CLASSIFIED AS ENGLISH LANGUAGE
8 LEARNERS FOR THE FIRST TIME AS VERIFIED BY THE STUDENT ACCOUNTABILITY
9 INFORMATION SYSTEM ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-1041.

10 (c) THE NUMBER OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS WHO ACHIEVED ENGLISH 11 PROFICIENCY IN THE PAST ACADEMIC YEAR AND WHO HAVE BEEN RECLASSIFIED AS 12 ENGLISH PROFICIENT AS VERIFIED BY THE STUDENT ACCOUNTABILITY INFORMATION 13 SYSTEM ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-1041.

14 (d) THE NUMBER OF PUPILS WHO ARE ENROLLED IN EACH TYPE OF LANGUAGE
15 ACQUISITION PROGRAM OFFERED BY THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL AS
16 VERIFIED BY THE STUDENT ACCOUNTABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM ESTABLISHED BY
17 SECTION 15-1041.

18 (e) IF REQUESTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, THE TEST DATA USED TO
 19 DETERMINE ENGLISH PROFICIENCY.

2. DETERMINE THE MOBILITY OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS WITHIN THE SAME
 21 SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THE MOBILITY OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS TO OTHER SCHOOL
 22 DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS THROUGH THE STUDENT ACCOUNTABILITY INFORMATION
 23 SYSTEM ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-1041.

3. SUBMIT AN ANNUAL REPORT TO THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE BUDGET COMMITTEE
THAT INCLUDES AN ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL FEDERAL MONIES RECEIVED BY THE
DEPARTMENT FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS, A LIST OF HOW MUCH OF THESE MONIES
WERE DISTRIBUTED TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS ON A DISTRICT BY DISTRICT BASIS AND THE
PURPOSES FOR WHICH THESE FEDERAL MONIES ARE DESIGNATED. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL
SUBMIT A COPY OF THIS REPORT TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE AND THE DIRECTOR OF
THE ARIZONA STATE LIBRARY, ARCHIVES AND PUBLIC RECORDS.

31 4. SUBMIT AN ANNUAL REPORT TO THE GOVERNOR, THE PRESIDENT OF THE 32 SENATE, THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND THE STATE BOARD OF 33 EDUCATION THAT INCLUDES A DETAILED ANALYSIS OF WHETHER AND TO WHAT EXTENT 34 PUPILS ARE BENEFITING ACADEMICALLY FROM COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION AS DEFINED 35 IN SECTION 15-756.11 AND A COMPARISON OF THE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF PUPILS BEFORE AND AFTER RECEIVING COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION AS DEFINED IN SECTION 36 37 THE DEPARTMENT SHALL SUBMIT A COPY OF THIS REPORT TO THE 15-756.11. 38 SECRETARY OF STATE AND THE DIRECTOR OF THE ARIZONA STATE LIBRARY, ARCHIVES 39 AND PUBLIC RECORDS.

40 5. PRESENT A DETAILED ANNUAL SUMMARY OF ALL ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER41 PROGRAMS AND FUNDING AT A PUBLIC MEETING OF THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION.

42 6. PRESENT A SUMMARY OF INFORMATION RELATING TO THE DEMONSTRATED
43 SUCCESS OF SCHOOLS AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS AT ACHIEVING ENGLISH PROFICIENCY FOR
44 ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS.

15–756.11. <u>Statewide compensatory instruction fund; reporting;</u> definition 1 A. THE STATEWIDE COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION FUND IS ESTABLISHED. THE 2 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL ADMINISTER THE FUND.

3

B. TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR MONIES APPROPRIATED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION. A SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL MUST DEMONSTRATE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF 4 5 EDUCATION THAT IT HAS ESTABLISHED A SATISFACTORY COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION PROGRAM. THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL DISTRIBUTE MONIES FROM THE FUND 6 7 TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS IN AN AMOUNT DETERMINED BY THE 8 DEPARTMENT FOR COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION COSTS.

9 C. SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS SHALL ANNUALLY SUBMIT WRITTEN COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION BUDGET REQUESTS TO THE DEPARTMENT ON OR BEFORE JULY 10 11 15 FOR MONIES FROM THE FUND ON A FORM DEVELOPED BY THE DEPARTMENT. 12 REQUESTS SHALL INCLUDE AN ANALYSIS OF COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION EFFECTIVENESS.

13 D. THE WRITTEN REQUESTS SUBMITTED BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS SHALL BE SIGNED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THE 14 CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OR THE PRINCIPAL OF THE 15 CHARTER SCHOOL AND THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OF THE CHARTER SCHOOL AND 16 17 MONIES FROM THE STATEWIDE COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION FUND SHALL NOT BE USED TO SUPPLANT ANY FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL MONIES, INCLUDING DESEGREGATION MONIES 18 19 LEVIED PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-910, USED FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS THAT 20 WERE BUDGETED FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS AS OF FEBRUARY 23, 2006.

21 E. SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS SHALL USE MONIES FROM THE FUND 22 TO SUPPLEMENT EXISTING PROGRAMS. MONIES SHALL NOT BE USED TO SUPPLANT ANY 23 FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL MONIES, INCLUDING DESEGREGATION MONIES LEVIED 24 PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-910, USED FOR COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION THAT WERE 25 BUDGETED FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS AS OF FEBRUARY 23, 2006.

26 F. EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT AND CHARTER SCHOOL SHALL ESTABLISH A LOCAL 27 LEVEL COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION FUND TO RECEIVE MONIES DISTRIBUTED FROM THE 28 STATEWIDE COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION FUND. MONIES IN LOCAL LEVEL COMPENSATORY 29 INSTRUCTION FUNDS SHALL BE SPENT ONLY FOR COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION. THE 30 AUDITOR GENERAL SHALL MODIFY THE BUDGET FORMAT, FINANCIAL RECORD 31 REQUIREMENTS, ACCOUNTING FORMS AND FINANCIAL REPORT FORMS IN ACCORDANCE WITH 32 THIS SUBSECTION. IN CONSULTATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, THE 33 AUDITOR GENERAL SHALL PROVIDE SUPPORT AND GUIDANCE TO ASSIST SCHOOL DISTRICTS 34 AND CHARTER SCHOOLS IN COMPLYING WITH THIS SUBSECTION. DOCUMENTS DEVELOPED 35 PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION SHALL BE REVIEWED BY THE ARIZONA ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS TASK FORCE ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-756.01 AND THE JOINT 36 37 LEGISLATIVE BUDGET COMMITTEE.

38 G. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, "COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION" MEANS 39 PROGRAMS IN ADDITION TO NORMAL CLASSROOM INSTRUCTION THAT MAY INCLUDE 40 INDIVIDUAL OR SMALL GROUP INSTRUCTION, EXTENDED DAY CLASSES, SUMMER SCHOOL OR 41 INTERSESSION SCHOOL AND THAT ARE LIMITED TO IMPROVING THE ENGLISH PROFICIENCY 42 OF CURRENT ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS AND PUPILS WHO WERE ENGLISH LANGUAGE 43 LEARNERS AND WHO HAVE BEEN RECLASSIFIED AS ENGLISH PROFICIENT WITHIN THE 44 PREVIOUS TWO YEARS.

45 46

15-756.12. Auditor general; duties THE AUDITOR GENERAL SHALL:

1 MODIFY THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 15-904 IN 2 ORDER TO CARRY OUT THIS ARTICLE. 3 BIENNIALLY AUDIT THE OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAM BASED ON PERFORMANCE BASED OUTCOME MEASUREMENTS AND INCREASED 4 5 ENGLISH PROFICIENCY AND REVIEW THE MOBILITY OF ENGLISH PROFICIENT STUDENTS 6 AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS. 7 3. CONDUCT FINANCIAL AUDITS ON SCHOOL DISTRICTS MONITORED PURSUANT TO THE FINANCIAL AUDITS SHALL INCLUDE A REVIEW OF THE 8 SECTION 15-756.08. 9 STRUCTURED ENGLISH IMMERSION BUDGET REQUESTS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 15-756.01 AND 15-756.03 AND OF THE STATEWIDE COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION BUDGET 10 11 REQUESTS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-756.11. THE AUDITOR GENERAL MAY 12 CONDUCT FINANCIAL AUDITS ON RANDOMLY SELECTED SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT ARE NOT 13 CURRENTLY MONITORED PURSUANT TO SECTION 15-756.08. 14 15-756.13. School district and charter schools; responsibility 15 to comply with state and federal law NOTHING IN SECTIONS 15-756 AND 15-756.01 THROUGH 15-756.12 RELIEVES A 16 17 SCHOOL DISTRICT OR CHARTER SCHOOL OF ITS RESPONSIBILITY TO COMPLY WITH ALL 18 STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS. 19 Sec. 5. Section 15-910, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to read: 20 School district budgets; excess utility costs; 15-910. 21 desegregation costs; tuition costs for bond issues; 22 costs for registering warrants; report 23 A. The governing board may budget for the district's excess utility 24 costs which are specifically exempt from the district's revenue control 25 limit. If approved by the qualified electors voting at a statewide general 26 election, the exemption from the revenue control limit under this subsection 27 expires at the end of the 2008-2009 budget year. The uniform system of 28 financial records shall specify expenditure items allowable as excess utility 29 costs, which are limited to direct operational costs of heating, cooling, 30 water and electricity, telephone communications and sanitation fees. The 31 department of education and the auditor general shall include in the 32 maintenance and operation section of the budget format, as provided in 33 section 15-903, a separate line for utility expenditures and a special excess 34 utility cost category. The special excess utility cost category shall 35 contain budgeted expenditures for excess utility costs, determined as 36 follows: 37 Determine the lesser of the total budgeted or total actual utility 1. 38 expenditures for fiscal year 1984-1985. 39 2. Multiply the amount in paragraph 1 of this subsection by the total

2. Multiply the amount in paragraph 1 of this subsection by the total percentage increase or decrease in the revenue control limit and the capital outlay revenue limit for the budget year over the revenue control limit and the capital outlay revenue limit for fiscal year 1984-1985 excluding monies available from a career ladder program or a teacher compensation program provided for in section 15-952.

3. The sum of the amounts in paragraphs 1 and 2 of this subsection isthe amount budgeted in the utility expenditure line.

4. Additional expenditures for utilities are budgeted in the excess
 utility cost category.

B. The governing board shall apply the same percentage increase or decrease allowed in the revenue control limit and the capital outlay revenue limit as provided in section 15-905, subsection E or section 15-948 to the utility expenditure line of the budget.

7 C. The governing board may expend from the excess utility cost 8 category only after it has expended for utility purposes the full amount 9 budgeted in the utility expenditure line of the budget.

D. The governing board, after notice is given and a public meeting is held as provided in section 15-905, subsection D, may revise at any time before May 15 the amount budgeted in the excess utility cost category for the current year. Not later than May 18, the budget as revised shall be submitted electronically to the superintendent of public instruction.

15 E. If the revised excess utility cost category results in an 16 expenditure of monies in excess of school district revenues for the current 17 year, the county school superintendent shall include within the revenue 18 estimate for the budget year monies necessary to meet the liabilities 19 incurred by the school district in the current year in excess of revenues 20 received for the current year.

F. If a school district receives a refund of utility expenditures or a rebate on energy saving devices or services, the refund or rebate shall be applied against utility expenditures for the current year as a reduction of the expenditures, except that the reduction of expenditures shall not exceed the amount of actual utility expenditures.

26 G. The governing board may budget for expenses of complying with or 27 continuing to implement activities which were required or permitted by a 28 court order of desegregation or administrative agreement with the United 29 States department of education office for civil rights directed toward 30 remediating alleged or proven racial discrimination which are specifically 31 exempt in whole or in part from the revenue control limit and the capital 32 outlay revenue limit. This exemption applies only to expenses incurred for 33 activities which are begun before the termination of the court order or administrative agreement. IF A DISTRICT IS LEVYING A PRIMARY PROPERTY TAX ON 34 35 FEBRUARY 23, 2006 AND USING THOSE MONIES TO ADMINISTER AN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAM TO REMEDY ALLEGED OR PROVEN DISCRIMINATION UNDER TITLE VI OF 36 37 THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 (42 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 2000d), THE 38 DISTRICT MAY SPEND THOSE MONIES TO REMEDY A VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL EDUCATION 39 ACT OF 1974 (20 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 1703(f)). NOTHING IN THIS 40 SUBSECTION ALLOWS A SCHOOL DISTRICT TO LEVY A PRIMARY PROPERTY TAX FOR 41 VIOLATIONS OF THE EQUAL EDUCATION ACT OF 1974 (20 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 42 1703(f)) IN THE ABSENCE OF AN ALLEGED OR PROVEN DISCRIMINATION UNDER TITLE VI OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 (42 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 2000d). 43

44 H. If a governing board chooses to budget monies outside of the 45 revenue control limit as provided in subsection G of this section, the 46 governing board may do one of the following: 1 1. Use monies from the maintenance and operation fund equal to any 2 excess desegregation or compliance expenses beyond the revenue control limit 3 before June 30 of the current year.

2. Notify the county school superintendent to include the cost of the excess expenses in the county school superintendent's estimate of the additional amount needed for the school district from the primary property tax as provided in section 15-991.

8 3. Employ the provisions of both paragraphs 1 and 2 of this subsection 9 provided that the total amount transferred and included in the amount needed 10 from property taxes does not exceed the total amount budgeted as prescribed 11 in subsection J, paragraph 1 of this section.

12 Through fiscal year 2003-2004, the maximum amount which a governing Ι. 13 board may budget outside of the capital outlay revenue limit as provided in 14 subsection G of this section is twelve per cent of the maintenance and 15 operation desegregation budget as provided in subsection J of this section or 16 the amount that it budgeted pursuant to this subsection for fiscal year 17 2001-2002, whichever is less. If a governing board chooses to budget monies 18 outside of the capital outlay revenue limit as provided in subsection G of 19 this section, the governing board may notify the county school superintendent 20 to include the cost of the excess expenses in the county school 21 superintendent's estimate of the additional amount needed for the school district from the primary property tax as provided in section 15-991. 22

23

J. A governing board using subsections G, H and I of this section:

24 Shall prepare and employ a separate maintenance and operation 1. 25 desegregation budget and capital outlay desegregation budget on a form prescribed by the superintendent of public instruction in conjunction with 26 27 the auditor general. The budget format shall be designed to allow a school 28 district to plan and provide in detail for expenditures to be incurred solely 29 as a result of compliance with or continuing to implement activities which 30 were required or permitted by a court order of desegregation or 31 administrative agreement with the United States department of education 32 office for civil rights directed toward remediating alleged or proven racial 33 discrimination.

34 2. Shall prepare as a part of the annual financial report a detailed 35 report of expenditures incurred solely as a result of compliance with or continuing to implement activities which were required or permitted by a 36 37 court order of desegregation or administrative agreement with the United 38 States department of education office for civil rights directed toward 39 remediating alleged or proven racial discrimination, in a format prescribed 40 by the auditor general in conjunction with the department of education as 41 provided by section 15-904.

3. On or before July 15, 2006 and each year thereafter, shall collect and report data regarding activities related to a court order of desegregation or an administrative agreement with the United States department of education office for civil rights directed toward remediating alleged or proven racial discrimination in a format prescribed by the

1 department of education. The department shall compile and submit copies of 2 the reports to the governor, the president of the senate, the speaker of the 3 house of representatives and the chairpersons of the education committees of the senate and the house of representatives. A school district that becomes 4 5 subject to a new court order of desegregation or a party to an administrative agreement with the United States department of education office for civil 6 rights directed toward remediating alleged or proven racial discrimination 7 8 shall submit these reports on or before July 15 or within ninety days of the 9 date of the court order or administrative agreement, whichever occurs first. The department of education, in consultation with the auditor 10 11 general, shall develop reporting requirements to ensure that school districts 12 submit at least the following information and documentation to the department 13 of education beginning in fiscal year 2006-2007:

(a) A district-wide budget summary and a budget summary on a school by
school basis for each school in the school district that lists the sources
and uses of monies that are designated for desegregation purposes.

(b) A detailed list of desegregation activities on a district-widebasis and on a school by school basis for each school in the school district.

19 (c) The date that the school district was determined to be out of 20 compliance with title VI of the civil rights act of 1964 (42 United States 21 Code section 2000d) and the basis for that determination.

(d) The initial date that the school district began to levy property
taxes to provide funding for desegregation expenses and any dates that these
property tax levies were increased.

25 (e) If applicable, a current and accurate description of all magnet 26 type programs that are in operation pursuant to the court order during the 27 current school year on a district-wide basis and on a school by school 28 basis. This information shall contain the eligibility and attendance 29 criteria of each magnet type program, the capacity of each magnet type 30 program, the ethnic composition goals of each magnet type program, the actual 31 attending ethnic composition of each magnet type program and the specific 32 activities offered in each magnet type program.

33 (f) The number of pupils who participate in desegregation activities 34 on a district-wide basis and on a school by school basis for each school in 35 the school district.

36 (g) A detailed summary of the academic achievement of pupils on a 37 district-wide basis and on a school by school basis for each school in the 38 school district.

(h) The number of employees, including teachers and administrative personnel, on a district-wide basis and on a school by school basis for each school in the school district that are necessary to conduct desegregation activities.

(i) The number of employees, including teachers and administrative
personnel, on a district-wide basis and on a school by school basis for each
school in the school district and the number of employees at school district

administrative offices that are funded in whole or in part with desegregation monies received pursuant to this section.

3 (j) The amount of monies that are not derived through a primary or 4 secondary property tax levy and that are budgeted and spent on desegregation 5 activities on a district-wide basis and on a school by school basis for each 6 school in the school district.

7 (k) Verification that the desegregation funding will supplement and 8 not supplant funding for other academic and extracurricular activities.

9 (1) Verification that the desegregation funding is educationally 10 justifiable.

(m) Any documentation that supports the proposition that the requested desegregation funding is intended to result in equal education opportunities for all pupils in the school district.

(n) Verification that the desegregation funding will be used topromote systemic and organizational changes within the school district.

16 (o) Verification that the desegregation funding will be used in 17 accordance with the academic standards adopted by the state board of 18 education pursuant to sections 15-701 and 15-701.01.

(p) Verification that the desegregation funding will be used to accomplish specific actions to remediate proven discrimination pursuant to title VI of the civil rights act of 1964 (42 United States Code section 2000d) as specified in the court order or administrative agreement.

23 (q) An evaluation by the school district of the effectiveness of the 24 school district's desegregation measures.

25 (r) An estimate of when the school district will be in compliance with 26 the court order or administrative agreement and a detailed account of the 27 steps that the school district will take to achieve compliance.

(s) Any other information that the department of education deems necessary to carry out the purposes of this paragraph.

30 K. If a school district governing board budgets for expenses of 31 complying with a court order of desegregation or an administrative agreement 32 with the United States department of education office for civil rights 33 directed toward remediating alleged or proven racial discrimination, the 34 governing board shall ensure that the desegregation expenses will:

35

1. Be educationally justifiable.

2. Result in equal education opportunities for all pupils in the school district.

38 3. Be used to promote systemic and organizational changes within the 39 school district.

40 4. Be used in accordance with the academic standards adopted by the 41 state board of education pursuant to sections 15-701 and 15-701.01.

5. Be used to accomplish specific actions to remediate proven discrimination pursuant to title VI of the civil rights act of 1964 (42 United States Code section 2000d) as specified in the court order or administrative agreement. 1 2

> 3 4

6. Be used in accordance with a plan submitted to the department of education that includes an estimate of the amount of monies that will be required to bring the school district into compliance with the court order or administrative agreement and an estimate of when the school district will be in compliance with the court order or administrative agreement.

5

L. The governing board may budget for the bond issues portion of the 6 7 cost of tuition charged the district as provided in section 15-824 for the 8 pupils attending school in another school district, except that if the 9 district is a common school district not within a high school district, the 10 district may only include that part of tuition which is excluded from the 11 revenue control limit and district support level as provided in section 12 The bond issues portion of the cost of tuition charged is 15-951. 13 specifically exempt from the revenue control limit of the school district of 14 residence, and the primary property tax rate set to fund this amount shall 15 not be included in the computation of additional state aid for education as provided in section 15-972, except as provided in section 15-972, subsection 16 17 E. The department of education and the auditor general shall include in the 18 maintenance and operation section of the budget format, as provided in 19 section 15-903, a separate category for the bond issues portion of the cost 20 of tuition.

21 M. The governing board may budget for interest expenses it incurred 22 for registering warrants drawn against a fund of the school district or net 23 interest expense on tax anticipation notes as prescribed in section 24 35-465.05, subsection C for the fiscal year preceding the current year if the 25 county treasurer pooled all school district monies for investment as provided 26 in section 15-996 for the fiscal year preceding the current year and, in 27 those school districts that receive state aid, the school districts applied 28 for an apportionment of state aid before the date set for the apportionment 29 as provided in section 15-973 for the fiscal year preceding the current year. 30 The governing board may budget an amount for interest expenses for 31 registering warrants or issuing tax anticipation notes equal to or less than 32 the amount of the warrant interest expense or net interest expense on tax 33 anticipation notes as prescribed in section 35-465.05, subsection C for the 34 fiscal year preceding the current year as provided in this subsection which 35 is specifically exempt from the revenue control limit. For the purposes of 36 this subsection, "state aid" means state aid as determined in sections 15-971 37 and 15-972.

38 39 Sec. 6. Section 15-943, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to read: 15-943. <u>Base support level</u>

40 The base support level for each school district shall be computed as 41 follows:

42 1. The following support level weights shall be used in paragraph 2,43 subdivision (a) for the following school districts:

(a) For school districts whose student count in kindergarten programs
and grades one through eight is classified in column 1 of this subdivision,
the support level weight for kindergarten programs and grades one through

1 eight is the corresponding support level weight prescribed in column 2 or 3 2 of this subdivision, whichever is appropriate: 3 Column 1 Column 2 Column 3 4 Support Level Weight Support Level Weight 5 For Small Isolated For Small 6 Student Count School Districts <u>School Districts</u> 1-99 7 1.559 1.399 8 100-499  $1.358 + [0.0005 \times (500)]$  $1.278 + [0.0003 \times (500)]$ 9 - student count)] - student count)] 1.158 + [0.002 x (600 10 500-599 1.158 + [0.0012 x (600 11 - student count)] - student count)] 12 (b) For school districts whose student count in grades nine through 13 twelve is classified in column 1 of this subdivision, the support level weight for grades nine through twelve is the corresponding support level 14 weight prescribed in column 2 or 3 of this subdivision, whichever is 15 appropriate: 16 17 <u>Column 1</u> <u>Column 2</u> Column 3 18 Support Level Weight Support Level Weight 19 For Small Isolated For Small 20 Student Count School Districts School Districts 21 1-99 1.669 1.559 1.398 + [0.0004 x (500 22 100-499  $1.468 + [0.0005 \times (500)]$ 23 - student count)] - student count)] 24 500-599  $1.268 + [0.002 \times (600)]$ 1.268 + [0.0013 x (600 25 - student count)] - student count)] 26 Subject to paragraph 1, determine the weighted student count as 2. 27 follows, EXCEPT THAT FUNDING FOR THE SAME ELL PUPIL SHALL NOT BE PROVIDED FOR 28 MORE THAN TWO FISCAL YEARS: 29 (a) 30 Support Weighted 31 Level Student Student Weight 32 <u>Grade</u> Base <u>Group A</u> Count Count 33 PSD 1.000 + 0.450 1.450 Х = 0.158 34 K-8 1.000 + = 1.158 \_ Х 1.268 35 9-12 1.163 + 0.105 = х = 36 Subtotal А 37 (b) 38 Support Weighted 39 Funding Level Student Student 40 <u>Category</u> Weight Count <u>Count</u> 41 ΗI 4.771 Х = 42 K-3 0.060 Х = 43 ELL 0.115 0.140 Х = MD-R. A-R and 44 45 SMR-R 6.024 Х 46 MD-SC. A-SC and

1 SMR-SC 5.833 Х 2 MD-SSI 6.531 Х = 3 0 I - R 3.158 = Х 4 OI-SC 5.576 Х 5 P-SD 3.595 Х = 6 ED, MIMR, SLD, 7 SLI and OHI 0.003 Х = 8 ED-P 4.647 Х 9 MOMR 4.421 = Х 10 VΙ 4.806 Х = 11 Subtotal В

- 12
- (c) Total of subtotals A and B:
- 13 14

15

3. Multiply the total determined in paragraph 2 by the base level.

4. Multiply the teacher experience index of the district or 1.00, whichever is greater, by the product obtained in paragraph 3.

16 Sec. 7. Section 41-1279.03, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to 17 read:

18 19 41-1279.03. Powers and duties

A. The auditor general shall:

Prepare an audit plan for approval by the committee and report to
 the committee the results of each audit and investigation and other reviews
 conducted by the auditor general.

23 2. Conduct or cause to be conducted at least biennial financial and 24 compliance audits of financial transactions and accounts kept by or for all 25 state agencies subject to the single audit act of 1984 (P.L. 98-502). The 26 audits shall be conducted in accordance with generally accepted governmental 27 auditing standards and accordingly shall include tests of the accounting 28 records and other auditing procedures as may be considered necessary in the 29 circumstances. The audits shall include the issuance of suitable reports as 30 required by the single audit act of 1984 (P.L. 98-502) so the legislature, 31 federal government and others will be informed as to the adequacy of 32 financial statements of the state in compliance with generally accepted 33 governmental accounting principles and to determine whether the state has 34 complied with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the 35 financial statements and on major federal assistance programs.

36 3. Perform procedural reviews for all state agencies at times 37 determined by the auditor general. These reviews may include evaluation of 38 administrative and accounting internal controls and reports on such reviews.

39 4. Perform special research requests, special audits and related 40 assignments as designated by the committee and conduct performance audits, 41 special audits, special research requests and investigations of any state 42 agency, whether created by the constitution or otherwise, as may be requested 43 by the committee.

Annually on or before the fourth Monday of December, prepare a
written report to the governor and to the committee which contains a summary
of activities for the previous fiscal year.

6. In the tenth year and in each fifth year thereafter in which a transportation excise tax is in effect in a county as provided in section 42-6104, 42-6106 or 42-6107, conduct a performance audit that:

4 (a) Reviews past expenditures and future planned expenditures of the 5 transportation excise revenues and determines the impact of the expenditures 6 in solving transportation problems within the county and. for a 7 transportation excise tax in effect in a county as provided in section 8 42-6107, determines whether the expenditures of the transportation excise 9 revenues comply with section 28-6392, subsection B.

10 (b) Reviews projects completed to date and projects to be completed 11 during the remaining years in which a transportation excise tax is in effect. 12 Within six months after each review period the auditor general shall present 13 a report to the speaker of the house of representatives and the president of 14 the senate detailing findings and making recommendations. If the parameters 15 of the performance audit are set by the citizens transportation oversight 16 committee, the auditor general shall also present the report to the citizens 17 transportation oversight committee.

18 (c) Reviews, determines, reports and makes recommendations to the 19 speaker of the house of representatives and the president of the senate 20 whether the distribution of highway user revenues complies with title 28, 21 chapter 18, article 2. If the parameters of the performance audit are set by 22 the citizens transportation oversight committee, the auditor general shall 23 also present the report to the citizens transportation oversight committee.

7. If requested by the committee, conduct performance audits of counties and incorporated cities and towns receiving highway user revenue fund monies pursuant to title 28, chapter 18, article 2 to determine if the monies are being spent as provided in section 28-6533, subsection B.

8. Perform special audits designated pursuant to law if the auditor general determines that there are adequate monies appropriated for the auditor general to complete the audit. If the auditor general determines the appropriated monies are inadequate, the auditor general shall notify the committee.

33 9. Beginning on July 1, 2001, establish a school-wide audit team in 34 the office of the auditor general to conduct performance audits and monitor 35 school districts to determine the percentage of every dollar spent in the 36 classroom by a school district. THE PERFORMANCE AUDITS SHALL DETERMINE 37 WHETHER SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT RECEIVE MONIES FROM THE ARIZONA STRUCTURED 38 ENGLISH IMMERSION FUND ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-756.04 AND THE STATEWIDE 39 COMPENSATORY INSTRUCTION FUND ESTABLISHED BY SECTION 15-756.11 ARE IN 40 COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE 15, CHAPTER 7, ARTICLE 3.1. The auditor general shall 41 determine, through random selection, the school districts to be audited each 42 year, subject to review by the joint legislative audit committee. A school 43 district that is subject to an audit pursuant to this paragraph shall notify 44 the auditor general in writing as to whether the school district agrees or 45 disagrees with the findings and recommendations of the audit and whether the 46 school district will implement the findings and recommendations, implement

1 modifications to the findings and recommendations or refuse to implement the 2 findings and recommendations. The school district shall submit to the 3 auditor general a written status report on the implementation of the audit 4 findings and recommendations every six months for two years after an audit 5 conducted pursuant to this paragraph. The auditor general shall review the 6 school district's progress toward implementing the findings and 7 recommendations of the audit every six months after receipt of the district's 8 status report for two years. The auditor general may review a school 9 district's progress beyond this two-year period for recommendations that have 10 not yet been implemented by the school district. The auditor general shall 11 provide a status report of these reviews to the joint legislative audit committee. The school district shall participate in any hearing scheduled 12 13 during this review period by the joint legislative audit committee or by any 14 other legislative committee designated by the joint legislative audit 15 committee.

16

B. The auditor general may:

17 1. Subject to approval by the committee, adopt rules necessary to 18 administer the duties of the office.

Hire consultants to conduct the studies required by subsection A,
 paragraphs 6 and 7 of this section.

C. If approved by the committee the auditor general may charge a reasonable fee for the cost of performing audits or providing accounting services for auditing federal funds, special audits or special services requested by political subdivisions of the state. Monies collected pursuant to this subsection shall be deposited in the audit services revolving fund.

D. The department of transportation, the board of supervisors of a county that has approved a county transportation excise tax as provided in section 42-6104, 42-6106 or 42-6107 and the governing bodies of counties, cities and towns receiving highway user revenue fund monies shall cooperate with and provide necessary information to the auditor general or the auditor general's consultant.

E. The department of transportation shall reimburse the auditor general as follows, and the auditor general shall deposit the reimbursed monies in the audit services revolving fund:

1. For the cost of conducting the studies or hiring a consultant to conduct the studies required by subsection A, paragraph 6, subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, from monies collected pursuant to a county transportation excise tax levied pursuant to section 42-6104, 42-6106 or 42-6107.

40 2. For the cost of conducting the studies or hiring a consultant
41 pursuant to subsection A, paragraph 6, subdivision (c) and paragraph 7 of
42 this section, from the Arizona highway user revenue fund.

43

Sec. 8. Budget request deadlines

A. Notwithstanding section 15-756.03, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act, for fiscal year 2007-2008, school districts and charter 1 schools shall submit structured English immersion budget requests to the 2 department of education on or before December 1, 2006.

B. Notwithstanding section 15-756.11, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act, for fiscal year 2006-2007, school districts and charter schools shall submit compensatory instruction budget requests to the department of education on or before July 15, 2006.

7

Sec. 9. <u>Appropriation; compensatory instruction; exemption</u>

A. The sum of \$10,000,000 is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 to the department of education for deposit in the statewide compensatory instruction fund established by section 15-756.11, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act, for distribution to school districts and charter schools.

B. The appropriation made in subsection A of this section is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

16

17

Sec. 10. <u>Appropriation; English language acquisition services;</u> <u>exemption</u>

18 The sum of \$2,555,000 is appropriated from the state general fund Α. 19 in fiscal year 2005-2006 to the department of education to provide English 20 language acquisition services for the purposes of section 15-756.07, Arizona 21 Revised Statutes, as added by this act, for the costs of providing English 22 language proficiency assessments, scoring and ancillary materials as 23 prescribed by the department of education to school districts and charter 24 schools for the purposes of title 15, chapter 7, article 3.1, Arizona Revised 25 Statutes, as amended by this act, and for the purposes contained within 26 subsection C of this section.

B. The department of education may use the monies appropriated pursuant to subsection A of this section to hire staff or contract with a third party to carry out the purposes of section 15-756.07, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act.

C. Notwithstanding section 41-192, Arizona Revised Statutes, the superintendent of public instruction may use a portion of the monies appropriated pursuant to subsection A of this section to contract with one or more private attorneys to provide legal services in connection with the case of <u>Flores v. State of Arizona</u>, No. CIV 92-596-TUC-RCC.

D. The appropriation made in subsection A of this section is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

39 40 Sec. 11. <u>Appropriation; English language acquisition services;</u> <u>exemption</u>

A. The sum of \$4,610,000 is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 to the department of education to provide English language acquisition services for the purposes of section 15-756.07, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act, for the costs of providing English language proficiency assessments, scoring and ancillary materials as prescribed by the department of education to school districts and charter 1 schools for the purposes of title 15, chapter 7, article 3.1, Arizona Revised 2 Statutes, as amended by this act, and for the purposes contained within 3 subsection C of this section.

B. The department of education may use the monies appropriated pursuant to subsection A of this section to hire staff or contract with a third party to carry out the purposes of section 15-756.07, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act, and for the purposes contained within subsection C of this section.

9 C. Notwithstanding section 41-192, Arizona Revised Statutes, the 10 superintendent of public instruction may use a portion of the monies 11 appropriated pursuant to subsection A of this section to contract with one or 12 more private attorneys to provide legal services in connection with the case 13 of <u>Flores v. State of Arizona</u>, No. CIV 92-596-TUC-RCC.

D. The appropriation made in subsection A of this section is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

17

18

Sec. 12. <u>Appropriation; auditor general; evaluation and</u> <u>compliance; exemption</u>

A. The sum of \$2,500,000 is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 to the office of the auditor general for the purposes prescribed in section 15-756.12, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act.

B. The appropriation made in subsection A of this section is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

26

Sec. 13. Appropriation: ELL funding category

The sum of \$14,300,000 is appropriated from the state general fund to the department of education in fiscal year 2006-2007 for distribution to schools and charter schools for the increased support level weight for pupils that qualify for the ELL funding category as prescribed in section 15-943, Arizona Revised Statutes, as amended by this act.

32

Sec. 14. Intent

A. The legislature declares that even though the legislature has never been named as a party in the litigation in <u>Flores v. State of Arizona</u>, No. CIV 92-596-TUC-RCC, the legislature has substantially increased funding for English language learners since 2000 by increasing the ELL weight by 233 per cent.

B. The legislature declares that because of the unique geographic and demographic characteristics of this state, it is impossible to compare language acquisition education models and solutions adopted by other states to the needs of this state and inappropriate to automatically adopt such models and solutions.

C. The legislature declares that it has grave concerns regarding the
validity and reliability of the cost study performed by the National
Conference of State Legislatures pursuant to Laws 2001, second special
session, chapter 9, section 8. The cost study used what it referred to as

the "professional judgment approach" to determine incremental costs for English language learners, yet acknowledged that this kind of approach "depends on the judgments of educational professionals in identifying strategies rather than research that actually shows a linkage between the strategy and student performance".

Beginning in fiscal year 2006-2007, the legislature intends to 6 D. 7 enact a comprehensive, efficient and cost-effective program of developing research based models of structured English immersion that comply with all 8 9 state and federal laws for use by school districts and charter schools and funding the incremental costs of the research based models that are in 10 11 addition to the normal costs of conducting programs for English proficient 12 Furthermore, classification of a pupil as an English language students. 13 learner is fundamentally different than the classification of a pupil as 14 qualified for any other group B weight category.

E. The legislature declares that the costs of implementing the new English language learner programs cannot be determined until the Arizona English language learners task force develops the research based models, as required by this act, due to the unique characteristics and demographics of more than two hundred school districts and more than five hundred charter schools in this state.

F. The legislature is appropriating additional monies to fund English language learner programs in an effort to provide additional resources to school districts and charter schools for enhanced effectiveness of English language learner programs that comply with all state and federal laws.

25 G. The legislature believes that although the amount of monies spent 26 on English language learners is important, equally important is the way the 27 monies will be spent. For this reason, the legislature appropriated monies 28 for model development, district support and monitoring, and intends that 29 structured English immersion programs be subject to enhanced monitoring and 30 requirements, including effective compliance audit and reporting 31 The court referred to the three-fold inquiry cited in requirements. <u>Castaneda v. Pickard</u>, 648 F.2d 989, 1009-1010 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. Unit A June 1981). 32 33 This inquiry was that the educational theory is recognized as sound, that it 34 was reasonably calculated to implement effectively the educational theory, 35 but finally that the program produces results "indicating that the language 36 barriers confronting students are actually being overcome".

- 37
- 38

## Sec. 15. <u>Conditional enactment; English language learner</u> <u>assistance; appropriations; notice</u>

39 Section 15-943, Arizona Revised Statutes, as amended by this act, Α. 40 and section 13 of this act, relating to appropriations to the department of 41 education, do not become effective unless the United States District court 42 for the district of Arizona in the case of Flores v. State of Arizona, No. 43 CIV 92-596-TUC-RCC, issues an order that, by this act, the state has taken 44 appropriate action to establish a program that addresses the orders in the 45 case and, at least on an interim basis, the court will permit this act to be 46 fully implemented to determine whether the resulting ELL plans and available

1 funding to implement the plans bear a rational relationship to the cost of 2 implementing appropriate language acquisition programs.

B. The superintendent of public instruction shall notify the executive director of the Arizona legislative council in writing if the condition prescribed in subsection A of this section is met. This notice shall include the date on which the condition is met.

THIS BILL WAS RECEIVED BY THE GOVERNOR THIS 2 DAY OF MARCH 2006.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE MARCH 03, 2006.