

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
Fifty-first Legislature – First Regular Session

**COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT**

Minutes of Meeting  
Tuesday, January 29, 2013  
House Hearing Room 4 -- 2:00 p.m.

Chairman Ugenti called the meeting to order at 2:08 p.m. and attendance was noted by the secretary.

**Members Present**

Mr. Borrelli	Mr. Forese	Mr. Quezada
Mr. Coleman	Ms. Hernandez	Mr. Petersen, Vice-Chairman
Ms. Dalessandro	Mr. Kavanagh	Mrs. Ugenti, Chairman

**Members Absent**

None

**Committee Action**

HB2026 – DP (6-3-0-0)	HB2330 – DPA FAILED (3-6-0-0)
HB2113 – HELD AT SPONSOR'S REQUEST	HB2330 – DPA ON RECONSIDERATION (6-3-0-0)
HB2124 – DP (8-0-0-1)	HB2335 – DPA (8-0-0-1)
HB2258 – DPA (9-0-0-0)	HB2343 – DISCUSSED AND HELD

**CONSIDERATION OF BILLS**

**HB2113 - municipal annexation; size; exception – HELD AT SPONSOR'S REQUEST**

Chairman Ugenti announced that HB2113 will be held at the sponsor's request.

**HB2026 - local governments; union dues; deductions – DO PASS**

Virginia Carico, Assistant Majority Research Analyst, explained that HB2026 requires local governments to vote, by December 31, 2013, on whether to authorize payroll deductions for union dues (Attachment 1).

Chairman Ugenti, sponsor, explained that this document highlights the role of government in union deductions, a topic that warrants public discussion.

Mr. Coleman asked the impact over time if a city council does not take action by the deadline. Chairman Ugenti replied that the statutory prohibition will be in perpetuity unless additional legislative action is taken; she added that, if the vote is taken before the deadline, the council can revisit the issue at any time. Mr. Coleman observed that a council's inaction in missing the deadline would be binding on future councils.

Discussion ensued regarding areas that are not currently incorporated but might incorporate after the deadline. Chairman Ugenti clarified that this legislation cannot override existing contracts, but will be in effect after contracts expire.

Ms. Dalessandro asked if an individual union member can stop payroll deductions. Chairman Ugenti clarified that this does not affect union representation, only paycheck deductions.

Mr. Quezada asked if councils can vote now or if this bill will force them to take action. Chairman Ugenti replied that the vote can be taken now but there is no forcing action, only forcing to take a position if the council decides to vote.

Levi Bolton, Phoenix Law Enforcement Association, Arizona Police Association, testified in opposition to HB2026, stating that this creates a statewide mandate on municipalities which have their own elected leaders.

AJ LaFaro, representing self, spoke in favor of HB2026, stating that Tempe has 94 percent unionized employees and this has caused a degradation in services as tax dollars are used to administer union dues. He stated that his preference would be to declare payroll deductions to be illegal, which would require a much stronger bill than HB2026.

Mr. Quezada asked if there is a cost to the taxpayer to process these deductions. Mr. LaFaro replied that human resources in the various government offices must deduct the fees and forward them to the unions and there is a cost to this. Chairman Ugenti stated that this is the discussion that each municipality should have: whether or not to administer the union dues deductions.

James Mann, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police, spoke in opposition to HB2026, stating that it targets public safety personnel unions by requiring a vote if a union is recognized and if membership is comprised of active and retired personnel.

Luis Ebratt, Arizona Probation Officers Association, Combined Law Enforcement Associations of Arizona, stated his opposition to HB2026. He explained that union membership is voluntary and payroll deductions are a popular way to manage contributions.

Carole Bartholomeaux, representing self, spoke against HB2026 and stated that payroll deductions are the purview of the cities, not the state. She stressed that law enforcement officers are very busy with their duties and the payroll deduction is an automatic function.

Vice-Chairman Petersen asked for other examples of automatic payroll deductions for associations which represent employees against their employer. Ms. Bartholomeaux replied that negotiating does not mean that one side is against another. Chairman Ugenti reiterated that this legislation does not address the merits of the unions, only payroll deductions.

David Lucier, representing self, stated his opposition to HB2026. He stated that no problem exists as none of the municipalities have complained about payroll deductions.

Mike Gardner, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona, spoke against HB2026. He stated that it is an affront to the role of government to intercede in how people spend their personal monies. He reiterated that there is no problem to be fixed.

Vice-Chairman Petersen stated that this legislation is designed to protect the taxpayer similar to any other business that would not wish to collect for an organization that negotiates against the business.

Connie Hunter, representing self, appeared in opposition to HB2026, stating the importance of keeping payroll deductions for association dues.

Wesley Harris, North Phoenix Tea Party, spoke in favor of HB2026 which will promote transparency in the municipalities.

Jack Daggett, representing self, spoke against HB2026 stating that it will affect the first line of defense: law enforcement officers. He added that there is no evidence that the status quo is broken.

John Fontana, citizen, representing self, spoke against HB2026. He described the differences between unions and associations, stating that law enforcement cannot go on strike.

Mike Williams, Arizona Police Association, Phoenix Law Enforcement Association, stated his opposition to this legislation. He explained that this could bind the actions of future municipal governments if, for instance, a council which is currently against unions does not take a vote and thus prohibits deductions in perpetuity. Discussion ensued.

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in support of HB2026 but did not speak:

Gary Johnson, representing self  
Joyce Hill, representing self  
Onita Davis, representing self  
Thomas Holding, representing self  
Eric Emmert, East Valley Chambers of Commerce Alliance  
Scot Mussi, Free Enterprise Club  
Rob Dalager, The Goldwater Institute  
Fran Noe, representing self  
Terrance Traylor, representing self  
Tom Jenney, Americans for Prosperity  
Dave Kopp, Americans for Prosperity  
Linda Brickman, representing self  
Vincent Anselmo, representing self  
John Baunoch, representing self  
Mary Ann Baunoch, representing self  
Starlee Rhoades, representing self

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in opposition to HB2026 but did not speak:

Joseph Seelye, representing self  
Mike Colletto, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Rebekah Friend, Arizona AFL-CIO  
Anthony Butch, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Chuck LeVinus, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Norman Moore, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police  
Jason Winsky, Police Officer, Combined Law Enforcement  
Rene Guillen, Legislative Associate, League of Arizona Cities and Towns  
Jimmy Chavez, Arizona Highway Patrol Association  
Jennifer Loreda, Arizona Education Association  
Stephanie Vargas, representing self  
Gerri Murray, representing self  
Tory Anderson, Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans  
Tim Hill, President, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Leeann Wieser, President, Arizona Federation of Teachers  
Greg Hynes, SMART  
John Ortolano, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police  
Molly McGovern, SEIU Arizona  
Joseph Witt Jr, representing self  
Aria Baca, representing self  
Anna Basurto-Whitehead, representing self  
Dan Pollard, representing self  
Kathryn Baillie, representing self

Ms. Dalessandro asked how many jurisdictions will be affected by HB2026.

Michelle Hindman, Deputy Director, Majority Research, stated that there are 91 cities and towns, 15 counties, and 165 fire districts.

Chairman Ugenti reiterated that this is an important topic for public debate and that it is not unprecedented for the state to mandate the municipalities.

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2026 do pass. The motion carried by a roll call vote of 6-3-0-0 (Attachment 2).**

### **HB2124 - fire district reorganization elections – DO PASS**

Michelle Hindman, Deputy Director, Majority Research, explained that HB2124 asserts that candidates in a fire district reorganization election must follow statutory nomination procedures and modifies the time frames for canvassing election returns (Attachment 3).

Dan Bogert, Research Analyst, County Supervisors Association, testified in support of HB2124 and described the three major areas needing clarification:

- any person seeking election to the governing body that would be formed or expanded in a fire district reorganization election must comply with statutory nominating requirements.

- reorganization election ballots must also provide, as a separate race, the names of persons who properly filed for nomination as candidates for the office of district board member.
- the time frame for the board to meet and canvass election returns for fire district reorganization or merger is changed from within 14 days to at least 6 days but not more than 20 days after an election.

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in support of HB2124 but did not speak:

Jen Sweeney, Deputy Director, Arizona Association of Counties

Trey Williams, Legislative Liaison, Arizona Association of Counties

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in opposition to HB2124 but did not speak:

John Flynn, Arizona Fire District Association

Lee Miller, Arizona Fire District Association

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2124 do pass. The motion carried by a roll call vote of 8-0-0-1 (Attachment 4).**

**HB2258 - mobile home parks; landscape maintenance – DO PASS AMENDED**

Michelle Hindman, Deputy Director, Majority Research, explained that HB2258 establishes responsibility for landscape maintenance on mobile home properties (Attachment 5).

Ms. Hindman explained that the Forese nine-line amendment dated 1/28/13 (Attachment 6) removes the landlord's responsibility for all landscape maintenance and specifies that he must only be responsible for any tree maintenance on the property unless the tree was added by the tenant.

Mr. Forese, sponsor, stated that HB2258 will remedy landscaping issues in mobile home associations.

John MacDonald, Arizona Association of Manufactured Home Owners, testified in support of HB2258 which will clarify current law by stating that the landlord or park owner is responsible for maintenance and insurance of trees on the property.

Richard Zettlemyer, Arizona Association of Manufactured Home Owners, stated his support for HB2258.

Mark Bolton, Manufactured Housing Communities of Arizona, spoke in opposition to HB2258, stating that he will work to improve the bill.

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in support of HB2258 but did not speak:

Daniel Hinkson, representing self

DJ McMurray, representing self

Blaine Purington, representing self

Philip Steinberg, representing self

Catherine Becker, representing self  
Keith Trantow, representing self  
Bob Caplette, representing self

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in opposition to HB2258 but did not speak:

Neal Haney, representing self  
Susan Brenton, Manufactured Housing Communities of Arizona  
Michael Preston Green, Manufactured Housing Communities of Arizona

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2258 do pass.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that the Forese nine-line amendment dated 1/28/13 (Attachment 6) be adopted. The motion carried.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2258 as amended do pass. The motion carried by a roll call vote of 9-0-0-0 (Attachment 7).**

**HB2335 - mobile homes; recreational vehicles; parks – DO PASS AMENDED**

Virginia Carico, Assistant Majority Research Analyst, explained that HB2335 prohibits landlords from adopting rules that restrict tenants in mobile home and recreational vehicle parks from attending meetings in the park and restricts these meetings from being deemed a solicitation (Attachment 8).

Ms. Carico explained that the Forese nineteen-line amendment dated 1/28/13 (Attachment 9) retains a landlord's ability to set limits on the time and place tenants can attend meetings in the park and clarifies that meeting notices as well as meetings are deemed not to constitute a solicitation in mobile home and recreational vehicle parks.

Representative Steve Montenegro, sponsor, explained that this legislation will protect property rights without impeding the rights of mobile home owners.

John MacDonald, Arizona Association of Manufactured Home Owners, spoke in support of HB2335, which is similar to HB2258, and will clarify that residents can meet and discuss issues at the mobile home park.

Mark Bolton, Manufactured Housing Communities of Arizona, spoke in opposition to HB2335, stating that he will work to improve the bill.

Richard Zettlemyer, Arizona Association of Manufactured Home Owners, stated his support for HB2335.

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in support of HB2335 but did not speak:

Daniel Hinkson, representing self  
DJ McMurray, representing self  
Blaine Purington, representing self

Philip Steinberg, representing self  
Catherine Becker, representing self  
Keith Trantow, representing self  
Bob Caplettte, representing self

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in opposition to HB2335 but did not speak:

Susan Brenton, Manufactured Housing Communities of Arizona  
Neal Haney, Managing Member, representing self  
Michael Preston Green, Manufactured Housing Communities of Arizona  
Don Isaacson, Fraternal Order of Police

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2335 do pass.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that the Forese nineteen-line amendment dated 1/28/13 (Attachment 9) be adopted. The motion carried.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that the Forese nineteen-line amendment dated 1/28/13 (Attachment 9) be amended as follows:**

**Line 16, after the period insert "MEETING NOTICES AND"**  
**The motion carried.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that the Forese nineteen-line amendment dated 1/28/13 (Attachment 9) as amended be adopted. The motion carried.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2335 as amended do pass. The motion carried by a roll call vote of 8-0-0-1 (Attachment 10).**

### **HB2330 - public employees; bargaining; open meetings – DO PASS AMENDED FAILED**

Tom Savage, Majority Intern, explained that HB2330 requires meetings between employee organizations and a public body to follow open meeting laws (Attachment 11). It also:

- Modifies the definition of advisory committee and subcommittee.
- Includes meetings between a representative of a public body and any agent or officer of any employee organization involving negotiations for salaries, salary schedule and fringe benefit compensation paid to public employees into the definition of meeting.
- Requires any meeting involving representatives of a public body and any agent or officer of any employee organization to be recorded by audiovisual means.
- Strikes language that allows for salary negotiations between employee organizations and a public body to occur in an executive session.

Mr. Savage explained that the Kavanagh two-line amendment dated 1/25/13 (Attachment 12) strikes "any other employment matter" so that only meetings involving salary and fringe benefit negotiations are included in the definition of "meeting".

Mr. Savage explained that the Quezada three-line amendment dated 1/28/13 (Attachment 13) creates an exception for meetings for individual employees.

Representative Steve Montenegro, sponsor, explained that HB2330 will provide transparency in government meetings and give taxpayers a "seat at the table" during salary and benefit negotiations between a government employer and an employee association or union. Currently some meetings are held in secrecy, even to the extent that town councils and mayors are not privy to the details.

Ms. Hernandez asked if this legislation includes personnel issues. Mr. Montenegro replied that the Kavanagh two-line amendment strikes "any other employment matter" that will focus the legislation only on negotiations which affect what taxpayers must pay for.

Mr. Coleman stated that transparency is important, but that the taxpayers are "at the table" via their local elected officials. He asked the definition of "meeting" in HB2330 and if it could extend to an association official and an elected official talking in the hallway.

Vice-Chairman Petersen assumed the Chair.

Mr. Montenegro stated that the definition of "meeting" refers to meetings which deal with negotiations that have to do with taxpayer dollars and compensation and are therefore formal meetings. Mr. Kavanagh added that HB2330 will apply if an employee is an agent or officer of a union or employee organization in a negotiating situation.

Chairman Ugenti assumed the Chair.

Mr. Coleman expressed concern that the videotaping requirement could turn local meetings into a "show" or a campaign opportunity at taxpayer expense.

Mr. Montenegro reiterated that HB2330 does not prohibit one-on-one meetings.

Mr. Coleman stated that this appears to be an unfunded mandate on the municipalities. Discussion ensued regarding the reach of HB2330 and if it could also apply to economic development meetings or budget meetings with the Governor.

Taylor Earl, Goldwater Institute, spoke in support of HB2330. He clarified that this bill is intended to apply only to negotiations on collective bargaining agreements; it will provide transparency when employee organizations negotiate with a public body.

Chairman Ugenti asked what information a council member can access. Mr. Earl replied that it depends upon the city and many do not give negotiation information to the council at all, even if requested. Discussion ensued. Mr. Coleman stated that each city decides its own process for negotiations and access to minutes and other records.

Carole Bartholomeaux, representing self, spoke against HB2330. She explained that she depends upon police officers to keep her safe and that HB2330 violates their right to privacy when negotiating salaries.

Nancy Zimmerman, representing self, testified in support of HB2330, stating that taxpayers have a right to know what they are paying for.

Levi Bolton, Phoenix Law Enforcement Association, Arizona Police Association, stated his opposition to HB2330 as these discussions do not constitute a public body. HB2330 could cause delays in negotiations.

Mike Richardson, representing self, testified in support of HB2330, stating "beware of those who do not wish to work in the sunlight."

Mike Gardner, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona, spoke against HB2330, explaining that it is well-intentioned but flawed and could also apply to one-on-one meetings. He added that the state budget is done behind closed doors.

Jennifer Loreda, Arizona Education Association, spoke in opposition to HB2330 as it relates to school districts where most meetings are about class size and materials since there is no longer budget money for raises.

Mr. Kavanagh stated that this bill is not intended to cover anything other than negotiations, as his amendment clarifies. Ms. Loreda agreed that the language is very broad and said that it could be misapplied.

Jack Daggett, representing self, spoke against HB2330 and explained his concern about interfering with the privacy of law enforcement officers and their salary negotiations.

Wesley Harris, North Phoenix Tea Party, spoke in support of HB2330 which supports the public's need to know about meetings whose decisions are binding on the taxpayer.

James Mann, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police, spoke against HB2330 which will disadvantage smaller towns that have a much more informal process than larger municipalities.

Luis Ebratt, Arizona Probation Officers Association, Combined Law Enforcement Associations of Arizona, explained his opposition to HB2330.

AJ LaFaro, representing self, explained his support for HB2330.

Starlee Rhoades, The Goldwater Institute, stated her support for HB2330, stressing that it is intended to define meetings about contract negotiations, not about individual conversations. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Coleman stated that these decisions should be left to the cities. Ms. Rhoades replied that individual rights of taxpayers do not vary from city to city and there should not be an allowance for individual differences.

Vice-Chairman Petersen assumed the Chair.

Mike Colletto, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona, explained his opposition to HB2330, stressing that this country has a representative form of government rather than a direct democracy. He stated that the cities hire managers to do these tasks and that most city charters prohibit their councils from getting involved in negotiations.

Chairman Ugenti assumed the Chair.

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in support of HB2330 but did not speak:

Joyce Hill, representing self  
Rob Dalager, The Goldwater Institute  
Onita Davis, representing self  
Dave Kopp, Americans for Prosperity  
Thomas Holding, representing self  
Scot Mussi, Free Enterprise Club  
Fran Noe, representing self  
Tom Dorn, East Valley Chambers of Commerce Alliance  
Lucy Caldwell, The Goldwater Institute  
Vincent Anselmo, representing self  
Linda Brickman, representing self  
John Moody, Arizona Newspapers Association  
John Baunoch, representing self  
Mary Ann Baunoch, representing self  
Tom Jenney, Americans for Prosperity

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in opposition to HB2330 but did not speak:

David Lucier, representing self  
Mike Williams, Arizona Police Association, Phoenix Law Enforcement Association  
Joseph Seelye, representing self  
Anthony Butch, PFFA  
Jason Winsky, Police Officer, Combined Law Enforcement Associations of Arizona  
Chuck LeVinus, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Leeann Wieser, President, Arizona Federation of Teachers  
Jimmy Chavez, Arizona Highway Patrol Association, Arizona Police Association  
Stephanie Vargas, representing self  
Rebekah Friend, Arizona AFL-CIO  
Elizabeth Hatch, Mesa Public Schools  
Norman Moore, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police  
Gerri Murray, representing self  
Tory Anderson, Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans  
Tim Hill, President, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Greg Hynes, SMART  
Don Isaacson, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police  
John Ortolano, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police  
Molly McGovern, SEIU Arizona  
Joseph Witt, Jr., representing self  
Aria Baca, representing self  
Anna Basurto-Whitehead, representing self  
Judy Brown, representing self  
Dan Pollard, representing self  
Paul Castaneda, CWA

Representative Montenegro reiterated that the heart of this bill is transparency to halt runaway spending by government and allow taxpayers to see how their dollars are spent.

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2330 do pass.**

Mr. Quezada said he will not offer the Quezada three-line amendment dated 1/28/13 (Attachment 13).

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that the Kavanagh two-line amendment dated 1/25/13 (Attachment 12) be adopted. The motion carried.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2330 as amended do pass. The motion failed by a roll call vote of 3-6-0-0 (Attachment 14).**

### **HB2343 - public employees; compensation; union activities – DISCUSSED AND HELD**

Tom Savage, Majority Intern, explained that HB2343 directs public employers to compensate employees only for work that benefits the employer or the general public (Attachment 15). In addition, HB2343:

- Requires that public employers only compensate employees for work benefitting the employer or the general public.
- Excludes compensation for union activities from employment contracts.
- States that public employers shall not make any formal or informal agreements to compensate employees or third parties for union activities.
- Permits a public employer to allow an employee organization representative to be present during employee interviews and proceedings if the representation occurs at no cost to the employer.
- Allows a public employee to receive compensated leave time for any personal purpose if the leave time is not knowingly taken or given to compensate for union activities.
- Permits a public employee to receive uncompensated leave time to engage in union activities.
- Exempts any existing non-executory employment contracts under which an entitlement to compensation that conflicts with the provisions of this Act has vested.
- Specifies that existing employee contracts shall not be renewed if the contract conflicts with the provisions of this Act.
- States that the Attorney General and any taxpaying resident have standing in court to file an appropriate action at law or equity to compel compliance with the provisions of this Act.
- Asserts that any taxpaying resident may intervene in any court challenge to the validity of this Act.
- Defines *public employee*, *public employer*, *union* and *union activities*.
- Includes a severability clause.

Mr. Savage explained that the Petersen two-line amendment dated 1/25/13 (Attachment 16) modifies the definition of *public employer* to further define political subdivisions as tax-supported.

Vice-Chairman Petersen, sponsor, explained that HB2343 will ensure that taxpayers' money is not used for union activities.

Mr. Coleman asked when public funds become private. Vice-Chairman Peterson replied that when a salary is received, it becomes private funds.

Mr. Coleman asked if employees using vacation time to do union tasks will be prohibited by HB2343. Discussion ensued, particularly about the definition of "work that benefits the employer or the general public".

Marcus North, representing self, explained his support for HB2343 which he described as a commonsense bill so that taxpayers pay for services that benefit them.

Mike Richardson, representing self, stated his support for HB2343.

Carole Bartholomeaux, representing self, stated her opposition to HB2343, adding that money belongs to association members to spend as they wish.

James Mann, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police, appeared in opposition to HB2343, citing examples of investigations when an officer helps another officer.

Levi Bolton, Phoenix Law Enforcement Association, Arizona Police Association, stated his opposition to HB2343, clarifying that law enforcement associations are not unions and are not at odds with their public employer.

Taylor Earl, Goldwater Institute, explained his support of HB2343. Discussion ensued regarding the gift clause and release time.

Mr. Coleman asked what union activity is not a benefit to the city. Mr. Earl offered the examples of political activity, endorsements, fighting against police management, and uniform arguments.

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in support of HB2343 but did not speak:

Nancy Zimmerman, representing self  
Robert Brown, representing self  
Wesley Harris, North Phoenix Tea Party  
Tom Jenney Americans for Prosperity  
Starlee Rhoades, The Goldwater Institute  
AJ LaFaro, representing self  
Joyce Hill, representing self  
Rob Dalager, The Goldwater Institute  
Onita Davis, representing self  
Dave Kopp, Americans for Prosperity  
Thomas Holding, representing self  
Scot Mussi, Free Enterprise Club  
Eric Emmert, East Valley Chambers of Commerce Alliance

Fran Noe, representing self  
Terrance Traylor, representing self  
Lucy Caldwell, Goldwater Institute  
Vincent Anselmo, representing self  
Linda Brickman, representing self  
John Baunoch, representing self  
Mary Ann Baunoch, representing self

Vice-Chairman Petersen announced the names of those who signed up in opposition to HB2343 but did not speak:

David Lucier, representing self  
Mike Williams, Arizona Police Association, Phoenix Law Enforcement Association  
Jack Daggett, representing self  
Joseph Seelye, representing self  
Anthony Butch, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Chuck LeVinus, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Mike Colletto, Executive Director, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Jimmy Chavez, Arizona Highway Patrol Association, Arizona Police Association  
Stephanie Vargas, representing self  
Rebekah Friend, Arizona AFL-CIO  
Jennifer Loreda, Arizona Education Association  
Norman Moore, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police  
Gerri Murray, representing self  
Luis Ebratt, Arizona Probation Officers Association, Combined Law Enforcement Associations of Arizona  
Tory Anderson, Arizona Alliance for Retired Americans  
Tim Hill, President, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona  
Leeann Wieser, Arizona Federation of Teachers  
Greg Hynes, SMART  
Mike Gardner, Professional Firefighters of Arizona  
Jason Winsky, Combined Law Enforcement Associations of Arizona  
John Ortolano, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police  
Molly McGovern, SEIU Arizona  
Joseph Witt, Jr., representing self  
Aria Baca, representing self  
Anna Basurto-Whitehead, representing self  
Judy Brown, representing self  
Dan Pollard, representing self  
Don Isaacson, Arizona Fraternal Order of Police  
Paul Castaneda, CWA  
Michael Haener, Professional Fire Fighters of Arizona

Vice-Chairman Petersen requested that HB2343 be held.

**Chairman Ugenti announced that HB2343 will be held at the sponsor's request.**

**HB2330 - public employees; bargaining; open meetings – DO PASS AMENDED ON RECONSIDERATION**

**Mr. Quezada moved that, having voted on the prevailing side, the House Government Committee immediately reconsider its action of earlier today, whereby the Committee failed to pass the motion that HB2330 receive a do pass amended recommendation.**

**THE MEETING RECESSED AT 6:08 P.M. TO THE SOUND OF THE GAVEL.**

**THE MEETING RECONVENED AT 6:27 P.M. ALL MEMBERS WERE PRESENT.**

**Question was called on the motion that the House Government Committee immediately reconsider its action of earlier today, whereby the Committee failed to pass the motion that HB2330 receive a do pass amended recommendation. The motion carried.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2330 do pass.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that the Kavanagh two-line amendment dated 1/25/13 (Attachment 12) be adopted. The motion carried.**

**Vice-Chairman Petersen moved that HB2330 as amended do pass on reconsideration. The motion carried by a roll call vote of 6-3-0-0 (Attachment 17).**

Without objection, the meeting adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

---

Jane Dooley, Committee Secretary  
February 5, 2013

(Original minutes, attachments and audio on file in the Office of the Chief Clerk; video archives available at <http://www.azleg.gov>)