

## Judiciary - Superior Court

	FY 2013 ACTUAL	FY 2014 ESTIMATE	FY 2015 BASELINE
<b>SPECIAL LINE ITEMS</b>			
<i>Full Time Equivalent Positions</i>	136.5	137.5	137.5
Judges Compensation	7,721,100	7,682,500	7,682,500
Adult Standard Probation	13,527,200	13,543,200	17,658,900
Adult Intensive Probation	10,765,600	10,754,500	12,417,500
Community Punishment	1,504,500	2,310,100	2,310,100
Interstate Compact	645,400	648,000	748,500
Drug Court	1,013,600	1,013,600	1,013,600
Probation Surcharge	4,887,000	6,029,200	0
Juvenile Standard Probation	4,586,800	4,606,200	4,756,200
Juvenile Intensive Probation	8,674,400	9,175,600	9,175,600
Juvenile Treatment Services	22,252,200	22,341,400	22,341,400
Juvenile Family Counseling	659,800	660,400	660,400
Juvenile Diversion Consequences	9,028,100	9,024,900	9,024,900
Juvenile Crime Reduction	3,814,700	5,192,100	5,192,100
Mental Health Court Report	0	90,000	0
Special Water Master	20,000	94,000	220,000
<b>AGENCY TOTAL</b>	<b>89,100,400</b>	<b>93,165,700</b>	<b>93,201,700</b>
<b>FUND SOURCES</b>			
General Fund	78,894,200	79,634,300	79,670,300
<u>Other Appropriated Funds</u>			
Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund	4,819,200	7,002,200	7,002,200
Drug Treatment and Education Fund	500,000	500,000	500,000
Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund	4,887,000	6,029,200	6,029,200
SUBTOTAL - Other Appropriated Funds	10,206,200	13,531,400	13,531,400
<b>SUBTOTAL - Appropriated Funds</b>	<b>89,100,400</b>	<b>93,165,700</b>	<b>93,201,700</b>
Other Non-Appropriated Funds	5,335,900	5,331,200	5,331,200
Federal Funds	1,606,700	1,364,400	1,364,400
<b>TOTAL - ALL SOURCES</b>	<b>96,043,000</b>	<b>99,861,300</b>	<b>99,897,300</b>

**AGENCY DESCRIPTION** — The Superior Court, which has a division in every county, is the state's only general jurisdiction court. Superior Court judges hear all types of cases except small claims, minor offenses, or violations of city codes and ordinances. In addition, the responsibility for supervising adults and juveniles who have been placed on probation resides in the Superior Court.

### Judges Compensation

The Baseline includes \$7,682,500 and 82 FTE Positions from the General Fund in FY 2015 for Judges Compensation. These amounts are unchanged from FY 2014.

*Background* – This line item provides funding for the state's 50% share of the salary and benefits of Superior Court judges. A.R.S. § 12-128 requires the state General Fund to pay for one-half of Superior Court Judges' salaries, except for Maricopa County judges. Maricopa County is responsible for 100% of the salary and benefits of its Superior Court Judges. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-121, each county receives one judge for the first 30,000 in population. Additional judges may be created for every

additional 30,000 person increment upon approval by the Governor.

### Probation Programs

The state and non-Maricopa Counties share the costs of probation. For the intensive programs, the state pays 100% of the costs (although the counties may provide offices and other support services). For the standard programs and treatment services, the state predominantly pays for the cost of additional probation officers. Counties typically contribute through Probation Service Fee collections, outside grants, and office space. Since FY 2004, Maricopa County has assumed the state's share of its probation costs.

**Adult Standard Probation**

The Baseline includes \$17,658,900 and 10.4 FTE Positions in FY 2015 for Adult Standard Probation. These amounts consist of:

	<b>FY 2015</b>
General Fund	\$13,543,200
Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund (JCEF)	4,115,700

FY 2015 adjustments would be as follows:

**Surcharge Shift OF 4,115,700**

The Baseline includes an increase of \$4,115,700 and 0.8 FTE Positions from JCEF in FY 2015 for the shifting of Probation Surcharge SLI monies to the relevant probation category. (Please see the Probation Surcharge SLI for more information.)

*Background* – This line item provides funding for community supervision services for adults placed on standard probation by the Adult Division of the Superior Court. Supervision is intended to monitor compliance with the terms and conditions of probation imposed by the court. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-251A, an adult probation officer shall not supervise more than 65 adults on standard probation at one time.

**Adult Intensive Probation**

The Baseline includes \$12,417,500 and 7.8 FTE Positions in FY 2015 for Adult Intensive Probation. These amounts consist of:

General Fund	\$10,754,500
JCEF	1,663,000

FY 2015 adjustments would be as follows:

**Surcharge Shift OF 1,663,000**

The Baseline includes an increase of \$1,663,000 from JCEF in FY 2015 for the shifting of Probation Surcharge SLI monies to the relevant probation category. (Please see the Probation Surcharge SLI for more information.)

*Background* – This line item provides funding for a sentencing alternative intended to divert serious, non-violent offenders from prison. Supervision is intended to monitor compliance with the terms and conditions of probation imposed by the court. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-916, 1 team shall not supervise more than 25 intensive probationers at one time.

**Community Punishment**

The Baseline includes \$2,310,100 and 0.9 FTE Positions in FY 2015 for Community Punishment. These amounts consist of:

Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF)	\$1,810,100
Drug Treatment and Education Fund (DTEF)	500,000

These amounts are unchanged from FY 2014.

*Background* – This line item provides behavioral treatment services for adult probationers and for enhanced supervision, such as electronic monitoring and specialized probation caseloads. The funding is intended to provide for diversion of offenders from prison and jail, as well as to enhance probation programs, excluding Maricopa County.

**Interstate Compact**

The Baseline includes \$748,500 and 4.8 FTE Positions in FY 2015 for Interstate Compact. These amounts consist of:

General Fund	\$648,000
JCEF	100,500

FY 2015 adjustments would be as follows:

**Surcharge Shift OF 100,500**

The Baseline includes an increase of \$100,500 from JCEF in FY 2015 for the shifting of Probation Surcharge SLI monies to the relevant probation category. (Please see the Probation Surcharge SLI for more information.)

*Background* – This line item provides funding for supervision and intervention to probationers transferring to Arizona and monitors the supervision of probationers transferred to other states from Arizona.

**Drug Court**

The Baseline includes \$1,013,600 from the General Fund in FY 2015 for Drug Court programs. This amount is unchanged from FY 2014.

*Background* – This line item provides funding for juvenile and adult drug courts within the Superior Court throughout the state. It provides funding for prosecuting, adjudicating and treating drug-dependent offenders. Superior Court divisions in 12 counties have implemented or are planning the implementation of drug courts. These programs utilize drug education, intensive therapy, parent support, case management, socialization alternatives, aftercare and compliance monitoring for drug abstinence.

**Probation Surcharge**

The Baseline includes no funding in FY 2015 for the Probation Surcharge. FY 2015 adjustments would be as follows:

**Surcharge Shift OF (6,029,200)**

The Baseline includes a decrease of \$(6,029,200) and (0.8) FTE Positions from JCEF in FY 2015 for the shifting of

Probation Surcharge SLI monies to the relevant probation category. The probation surcharge is a fund source and not a program; as a result, the monies are being transferred to the 4 line items that represent their actual spending. There is no net change in agency resources associated with this shift.

*Background* – This line item consists of monies collected from a \$20 surcharge applied to various criminal offenses, civil traffic violations, and game and fish statute violations throughout the state. Monies collected from the surcharge (excluding those collected in courts located within Maricopa County) are deposited into JCEF and redistributed by the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) to all counties to supplement funding for the salaries of probation and surveillance officers and for the support of programs and services of the Superior Court adult and juvenile probation departments.

### **Juvenile Standard Probation**

The Baseline includes \$4,756,200 and 3.6 FTE Positions in FY 2015 for Juvenile Standard Probation. These amounts consist of:

General Fund	\$4,606,200
JCEF	150,000

FY 2015 adjustments would be as follows:

#### **Surcharge Shift OF 150,000**

The Baseline includes an increase of \$150,000 from JCEF in FY 2015 for the shifting of Probation Surcharge SLI monies to the relevant probation category. *(Please see the Probation Surcharge SLI for more information.)*

*Background* – This line item provides funding for community supervision services for juveniles placed on standard probation by the Juvenile Division of the Superior Court. Supervision is intended to monitor compliance with the terms and conditions of probation imposed by the court. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 8-203B, a juvenile probation officer shall not supervise more than an average of 35 juveniles on standard probation at one time.

### **Juvenile Intensive Probation**

The Baseline includes \$9,175,600 and 5.4 FTE Positions from the General Fund in FY 2015 for Juvenile Intensive Probation. These amounts are unchanged from FY 2014.

*Background* – This line item provides funding for a sentencing alternative to divert serious, non-violent juvenile offenders from incarceration or residential care and to provide intensive supervision for high-risk offenders already on probation. Supervision is intended to monitor compliance with the terms and conditions of probation imposed by the court. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 8-353B, 1 JIPS team shall not supervise more than an average of 25 juveniles on intensive probation at one time.

### **Juvenile Treatment Services**

The Baseline includes \$22,341,400 and 15.6 FTE Positions from the General Fund in FY 2015 for Juvenile Treatment Services. These amounts are unchanged from FY 2014.

*Background* – This line item provides funding to the juvenile courts to meet the requirements of A.R.S. § 8-230.01 and A.R.S. § 8-230.02, relating to the assignment of youths referred for delinquency or incorrigibility to treatment programs, residential treatment centers, counseling, shelter care and other programs.

### **Juvenile Family Counseling**

The Baseline includes \$660,400 from the General Fund in FY 2015 for Juvenile Family Counseling. This amount is unchanged from FY 2014.

*Background* – This line item provides funding to the Juvenile Division of the Superior Court for prevention of delinquency among juvenile offenders by strengthening family relationships. These monies are predominantly for non-adjudicated juveniles and their families and require a 25% county match.

### **Juvenile Diversion Consequences**

The Baseline includes \$9,024,900 from the General Fund in FY 2015 for Juvenile Diversion Consequences. This amount is unchanged from FY 2014.

*Background* – This program diverts youth from formal court proceedings in order to reduce court costs and prevent re-offending. A juvenile diversion probation officer assigns consequences for the juvenile to complete, such as substance abuse education, graffiti abatement, counseling, or other community service programs. In FY 2013, there were approximately 26,268 juveniles diverted from formal court proceedings. Monies in this line item are distributed to all counties.

### **Juvenile Crime Reduction**

The Baseline includes \$5,192,100 and 7 FTE Positions from CJEF in FY 2015 for Juvenile Crime Reduction. These amounts are unchanged from FY 2014.

*Background* – This line item provides funding for the design and implementation of community-based strategies for reducing juvenile crime. Strategies include prevention, early intervention, effective intermediate sanctions, and rehabilitation. Through a grant process, AOC distributes monies in this line item to approximately 31 public and private entities.

### ***Mental Health Court Report***

The Baseline includes no funding in FY 2015 for a Mental Health Court Report. FY 2015 adjustments would be as follows:

#### **Elimination of One-Time Report GF (90,000)**

The Baseline includes a decrease of \$(90,000) from the General Fund in FY 2015 for the elimination of one-time funding. The provision of funds was for a one-time report on Mental Health Courts mandated by Laws 2013, Chapter 140.

*Background* – Laws 2013, Chapter 140 requires the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) to evaluate the effectiveness, efficiency and accountability of the mental health courts and to establish operational standards on or before December 31, 2014. The funding provided in this special line item allows AOC to implement the provisions of this law.

### ***Special Water Master***

The Baseline includes \$220,000 from the General Fund in FY 2015 for the Special Water Master line item. FY 2015 adjustments would be as follows:

#### **Gila River Water Master GF 126,000**

The Baseline includes an increase of \$126,000 from the General Fund in FY 2015 for additional funding for the Gila River Water Master.

*Background* – This line item provides funding for the Special Water Master assigned by the court in 1990 to the Little Colorado River and Gila River water rights adjudications. The adjudication of water rights for the Little Colorado River and Gila River were petitioned in 1978 and 1980, respectively. In FY 2013, 519 water rights claims were filed by individuals, communities, governments, and companies. The Special Water Master conducts hearings for each claimant and makes recommendations to a Superior Court judge.

Pursuant to statute, the costs of the Water Master are funded from claimant fees. If claimant fees are insufficient, statute requires the state General Fund to pay for these expenses in a special line item within the Superior Court budget (*see the Other Issues for Legislative Consideration section for more information*).

\* \* \*

**FORMAT** — Special Line Items by Agency

### **FOOTNOTES**

#### *Standard Footnotes*

Of the 137.5 FTE Positions, 82 FTE Positions represent Superior Court judges in counties with a population of less than 2,000,000 persons. One-half of their salaries are

provided by state General Fund appropriations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-128. This is not meant to limit the counties' ability to add judges pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-121.

Up to 4.6% of the amounts appropriated for Juvenile Treatment Services and Juvenile Diversion Consequences may be retained and expended by the Supreme Court to administer the programs established pursuant to A.R.S. § 8-322, and to conduct evaluations as needed. The remaining portion of the Juvenile Treatment Services and Juvenile Diversion Consequences appropriations shall be deposited in the Juvenile Probation Services Fund established by A.R.S. § 8-322.

Receipt of state probation monies by the counties is contingent on the county maintenance of FY 2004 expenditure levels for each probation program. State probation monies are not intended to supplant county dollars for probation programs.

On or before November 1, 2014, the Administrative Office of the Courts shall report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee the FY 2014 actual, FY 2015 estimated and FY 2016 requested amounts for the following:

1. On a county-by-county basis, the number of authorized and filled case carrying probation positions and non-case carrying positions, distinguishing between Adult Standard, Adult Intensive, Juvenile Standard and Juvenile Intensive. The report shall indicate the level of state probation funding, other state funding, county funding and probation surcharge funding for those positions.
2. Total receipts and expenditures by county and fund source for the Adult Standard, Adult Intensive, Juvenile Standard and Juvenile Intensive Probation line items, including the amount of Personal Services expended from each revenue source of each account.
3. The amount of monies from the Adult Standard, Adult Intensive, Juvenile Standard and Juvenile Intensive Probation line items that the office does not distribute as direct aid to counties. The report shall delineate how the office expends these monies that are not distributed as direct aid to counties.

### **STATUTORY CHANGES**

The Baseline would, as session law, continue the FY 2014 suspension of county non-supplanting requirements associated with funding for probation services, criminal case processing, and alternative dispute resolution programs and requires the counties to report on reductions in county funding as a result of the elimination of the non-supplanting provisions.

**OTHER ISSUES FOR LEGISLATIVE CONSIDERATION**

**Special Water Master**

In FY 2013, revenue and interest to the Gila River water rights adjudication totaled \$3,042 and expenditures were \$156,243 leaving an ending balance of \$97,342. The revenue consists of filing fees paid by claimants initiating water rights claims, interest, and monies appropriated by the Legislature. Despite a lack of revenue, the Special Water Master was able to fund this level of expenditure due to its beginning balance.

In the FY 2014 budget, \$74,000 was appropriated from the General Fund. The FY 2015 Baseline includes an additional \$126,000 to ensure that the fund remains balanced.

The FY 2013 revenue, interest and appropriations to the Little Colorado River water rights adjudication totaled \$2,004 and expenditures were \$27,572. The FY 2013 ending balance was \$94,185. This balance and an annual appropriation of \$20,000 in General Fund monies will allow sufficient funding for the Little Colorado Water Master through at least FY 2015. *Table 1* displays a short history of the revenues and expenditures to the Gila River and Little Colorado River Water Masters from FY 2012 through FY 2015.

**Probation Caseloads**

The state appropriates \$38,079,500 in General Fund monies annually to support non-Maricopa County adult and juvenile probation services. *Table 2* below displays total funding and probation officer expenditures from both county and state sources in FY 2013.

<b>Special Water Master Funding</b>				
	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>
	<b><u>Actual</u></b>	<b><u>Actual</u></b>	<b><u>Estimated</u><sup>1/</sup></b>	<b><u>Estimated</u><sup>1/</sup></b>
<b><u>Gila River</u></b>				
Beginning Balance	\$392,903	\$250,543	\$97,342	\$0
Revenues <sup>2/</sup>	17,687	3,042	78,600	200,000
Expenditures	<u>160,047</u>	<u>156,243</u>	<u>175,942</u>	<u>200,000</u>
<b>Ending Balance</b>	<b>\$250,543</b>	<b>\$97,342</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b><u>Little Colorado River</u></b>				
Beginning Balance	\$104,261	\$99,753	\$94,185	\$81,285
Revenues <sup>2/</sup>	23,894	22,004	20,850	20,650
Expenditures	<u>28,402</u>	<u>27,572</u>	<u>33,750</u>	<u>36,000</u>
<b>Ending Balance</b>	<b>\$99,753</b>	<b>\$94,185</b>	<b>\$81,285</b>	<b>\$65,935</b>

<sup>1/</sup> Estimated amounts were provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts.  
<sup>2/</sup> Includes an annual appropriation of \$74,000 for the Gila River Water Master in FY 2014 and \$200,000 in FY 2015. The Little Colorado River Water Master revenues include an annual appropriation of \$20,000 for all years displayed.

<b>FY 2013 Probation Funding</b>					
	<b><u>Adult</u></b>	<b><u>Adult</u></b>	<b><u>Juvenile</u></b>	<b><u>Juvenile</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
	<b><u>Standard</u></b>	<b><u>Intensive</u></b>	<b><u>Standard</u></b>	<b><u>Intensive</u></b>	
<b><u>Probation Officers</u></b>					
Case Carrying Positions	207.0	108.0	75.2	51.5	441.7
Non-Case Carrying Positions	<u>331.6</u>	<u>54.1</u>	<u>353.9</u>	<u>31.8</u>	<u>771.4</u>
<b>Total Filled Positions</b>	<b>538.6</b>	<b>162.1</b>	<b>429.1</b>	<b>83.3</b>	<b>1,213.1</b>
<b><u>Probation SLI Expenditures</u></b>					
Amount Distributed to Counties	\$11,565,800	\$8,681,500	\$4,062,300	\$5,771,200	\$30,080,800
Amount Retained by AOC	<u>1,961,400</u>	<u>2,084,100</u>	<u>524,500</u>	<u>2,903,100</u>	<u>7,473,100</u>
<b>Total SLI Expenditures</b>	<b>\$13,527,200</b>	<b>\$10,765,600</b>	<b>\$4,586,800</b>	<b>\$8,674,300</b>	<b>\$37,553,900</b>
<b><u>County Probation Expenditures</u></b>					
State General Fund	\$11,558,800	\$8,645,300	\$3,889,400	\$5,236,200	\$29,329,700
Other State Funds	4,488,100	732,100	2,887,100	0	8,107,300
County Funding	<u>17,598,200</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12,736,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30,334,200</u>
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$33,645,100</b>	<b>\$9,377,400</b>	<b>\$19,512,500</b>	<b>\$5,236,200</b>	<b>\$67,771,200</b>

SUMMARY OF FUNDS	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate
<b>Community Punishment Program Fines Fund (SPA2119/A.R.S. § 13-821)</b>		<b>Non-Appropriated</b>
<b>Source of Revenue:</b> Discretionary fines imposed by the courts on drug offenders.		
<b>Purpose of Fund:</b> To provide drug treatment services to adult probationers through the Community Punishment Program.		
<b>Funds Expended</b>	7,000	30,800
<b>Year-End Fund Balance</b>	185,900	188,000
<b>Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (SPA2075/A.R.S. § 41-2401)</b>		<b>Appropriated</b>
<b>Source of Revenue:</b> Includes allocations of the Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF). CJEF consists of a 47% penalty assessment on fines, violations, forfeitures, and penalties imposed by the courts for criminal offenses and civil motor vehicle statute violations.		
<b>Purpose of Fund:</b> 9.35% of CJEF monies allocated to the courts are used to reduce juvenile crime, 6.02% is used to enhance the court's ability to process criminal and delinquency cases and salaries of Superior Court judges, and 2.13% is used to provide drug treatment services to adult probationers. The portions of the fund dedicated to juvenile crime reduction and drug treatment are included in the Superior Court's budget, while the case processing portion is part of the Supreme Court's budget.		
<b>Funds Expended</b>	4,819,200	7,002,200
<b>Year-End Fund Balance</b>	3,634,400	1,930,600
<b>Drug and Gang Enforcement Account (SPA2074/A.R.S. § 41-2402)</b>		<b>Non-Appropriated</b>
<b>Source of Revenue:</b> Federal grant monies passed through the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission's Drug and Gang Enforcement Account.		
<b>Purpose of Fund:</b> To fund programs that enhance the ability of the courts to process drug offenses and related cases.		
<b>Funds Expended</b>	1,606,700	1,364,400
<b>Year-End Fund Balance</b>	0	0
<b>Drug Treatment and Education Fund (SPA2277/A.R.S. § 13-901.02)</b>		<b>Partially-Appropriated</b>
<b>Source of Revenue:</b> The fund receives 7% of tax revenue collected on spirituous liquors and 18% of tax revenue collected on vinous and malt liquor. Of this amount, 50% is allocated to this fund and 50% is allocated to the Arizona Parents Commission on Drug Education and Prevention.		
<b>Purpose of Fund:</b> To place persons in drug education and treatment programs. Such monies are allocated to Superior Court probation departments according to a formula based on probation caseloads.		
<b>Appropriated Funds Expended</b>	500,000	500,000
<b>Non-Appropriated Funds Expended</b>	3,870,500	4,023,200
<b>Year-End Fund Balance</b>	2,380,700	2,168,600
<b>Grants and Special Revenue (SPA2084/A.R.S. § 35-142)</b>		<b>Non-Appropriated</b>
<b>Source of Revenue:</b> Monies provided from various sources, private and public, for specific programs and projects.		
<b>Purpose of Fund:</b> To expend grants as required by the contribution.		
<b>Funds Expended</b>	1,458,400	1,277,200
<b>Year-End Fund Balance</b>	0	0
<b>Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund (SPA2246/A.R.S. § 12-113)</b>		<b>Appropriated</b>
<b>Source of Revenue:</b> Electronic case filing and access fees; 27.78% of Supreme Court fees, 17.07% of Superior Court fees, 19.42% of Court of Appeals fees, 19.18% of Municipal Court fees, and 14.02% to 15.58% of Justice of the Peace fees; time payment fees assessed for late court payments; fees paid for court-ordered diversion programs, and a \$20 probation surcharge on fines, penalties, and forfeitures imposed by the courts for criminal offenses and civil motor vehicle statute violations. ( <i>See Supreme Court for information regarding non-Maricopa Counties.</i> )		
<b>Purpose of Fund:</b> To train court personnel, improve and enhance the court's ability to collect and manage monies assessed or received by the court, to fund court automation projects likely to improve case processing or the administration of justice, and for probation services. Funds represented here reflect the shift from the Supreme Court budget to the Superior Court budget of monies for probation officer salaries and programs.		
<b>Funds Expended</b>	4,887,000	6,029,200
<b>Year-End Fund Balance</b>	4,110,800	2,819,200

SUMMARY OF FUNDS	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate
<b>Juvenile Probation Services Fund (SPA2193/A.R.S. § 8-322)</b>		<b>Non-Appropriated</b>
<b>Source of Revenue:</b> Monies appropriated to Juvenile Probation Services - Treatment Services and Juvenile Diversion Consequences and allocated by the Administrative Office of the Courts.		
<b>Purpose of Fund:</b> To fund programs for juvenile probationers required as conditions of diversion. These programs are intended to reduce the number of repetitive juvenile offenders and provide services, including treatment, testing, independent living programs, residential foster and shelter care, and for juveniles referred to the juvenile court for incorrigibility or delinquency offenses. Expenditures from this fund are not displayed to avoid double counting General Fund monies.		
<b>Funds Expended</b>	0	0
<b>Year-End Fund Balance</b>	10,225,500	5,024,600
<b>State Aid to Detention Fund (SPA2141/A.R.S. § 41-2417)</b>		<b>Non-Appropriated</b>
<b>Source of Revenue:</b> General Fund monies appropriated by the Legislature.		
<b>Purpose of Fund:</b> To provide state assistance to counties in maintaining, expanding, and operating juvenile detention centers. On behalf of the juvenile court, the Administrative Office of the Courts may use monies in the fund to enter into agreements with public agencies or private entities to acquire land for, build, purchase, lease-purchase, lease, maintain, expand, or operate juvenile detention centers. Expenditures from this fund are not displayed below to avoid double counting.		
<b>Funds Expended</b>	0	0
<b>Year-End Fund Balance</b>	6,900	3,500