

Judiciary - Court of Appeals

Arizona Constitution Article VI
A.R.S. § 12-120

Chief Judge - Division I: The Honorable Sheldon H. Weisberg
Chief Judge - Division II: The Honorable Philip G. Espinosa

JLBC Analyst: Kim Hohman

	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Approved
PROGRAM BUDGET			
Court of Appeals			
Division I	7,075,500	7,108,700	7,631,100
Division II	3,286,600	3,101,800	3,328,300
AGENCY TOTAL	10,362,100	10,210,500	10,959,400

OPERATING BUDGET			
Full Time Equivalent Positions	133.3	133.3	133.3 ^{1/}
Personal Services	7,837,000	7,874,400	7,985,000
Employee Related Expenditures	1,084,000	1,648,400	1,647,900
Professional and Outside Services	35,800	35,800	35,800
Travel - In State	141,900	119,200	119,200
Travel - Out of State	8,600	3,000	3,000
Other Operating Expenditures	1,217,600	514,900	1,168,500
Equipment	37,200	14,800	0
AGENCY TOTAL	10,362,100	10,210,500	10,959,400^{2/}

FUND SOURCES			
General Fund	10,362,100	10,210,500	10,959,400
SUBTOTAL - Appropriated Funds	10,362,100	10,210,500	10,959,400
TOTAL - ALL SOURCES	10,362,100	10,210,500	10,959,400

AGENCY DESCRIPTION — The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction in all proceedings appealable from the Superior Court except criminal death penalty cases, which are automatically appealed to the Supreme Court. Division I also has statewide responsibility for reviewing appeals from the Arizona Corporation Commission, Industrial Commission, the Department of Economic Security unemployment compensation rulings, and the Arizona Tax Court. Division I convenes in Phoenix and encompasses the counties of Apache, Coconino, La Paz, Maricopa, Mohave, Navajo, Yavapai, and Yuma. Division II convenes in Tucson and encompasses the counties of Cochise, Gila, Graham, Greenlee, Pima, Pinal, and Santa Cruz.

Division I

	FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Appropriation	FY 2005 Appropriation
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
• Cases pending at the end of the year	1,918	2,133	1,823	2,000
• Cases upheld upon review	Baseline	2,007	1,400	2,000
• Customer satisfaction rating for settlement program (Scale 1-8)	6.0	7.0	6.6	7.0

Division II

	FY 2003 Appropriation	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Appropriation	FY 2005 Appropriation
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
• Cases pending at the end of the year	1,101	1,192	1,041	1,000
• Cases upheld upon review	Baseline	706	1,004	820
• Customer satisfaction rating for settlement program (Scale 1-8)	6.0	NA	6.1	6.1

Comments: The agency did not submit information for any measure labeled as “NA.”

^{1/} Of the 133.3 FTE Positions for FY 2005, 98.8 FTE Positions are for Division I and 34.5 FTE Positions are for Division II. (General Appropriation Act footnote)

^{2/} General Appropriation Act funds are appropriated as an Operating Lump Sum by Subprogram.

Operating Budget

The budget provides \$10,959,400 from the General Fund for the Court of Appeals in FY 2005. This amount includes \$7,631,100 for Division I and \$3,328,300 for Division II. These amounts include an increase for statewide and other adjustments. *(Please see the Statewide Adjustments section at the end of this Appropriations Report for details.)*

Shift Surplus Juvenile Probation Monies

The budget includes a General Fund increase of \$638,800 to shift surplus Juvenile Probation monies to the Court of Appeals. Of the approved amount, \$440,800 is for Division I and \$198,000 is for Division II. In Division I, these monies will be used for law library expenses and to fill vacant positions. In Division II, the monies will fund a \$73,900 increase in lease costs, software upgrades for judicial assistants, and other increased operating expenses within the division. Since this is a transfer between budget units within the Judiciary, there is no net impact to the state General Fund. *(See Superior Court narrative for more information.)*

[Click here to return to the Table of Contents](#)