

**Judiciary - Court of Appeals**

Arizona Constitution Article VI  
A.R.S. § 12-120

Chief Judge - Division I: The Honorable Edward C. Voss

Chief Judge - Division II: The Honorable Philip G. Espinosa

JLBC Analyst: Kim Hohman

	FY 2001 Actual	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Approved
<b>PROGRAM BUDGET</b>			
Court of Appeals			
<u>Subprograms</u>			
Division I	7,106,000	7,234,800	7,227,300
Division II	3,369,500	3,437,400	3,373,800
<b>TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS</b>	<b>10,475,500</b>	<b>10,672,200</b>	<b>10,601,100</b>
<b>OPERATING BUDGET</b>			
Full Time Equivalent Positions	140.5	140.5	136.3 <sup>1/</sup>
Personal Services	7,983,200	8,309,600	8,466,600
Employee Related Expenditures	1,041,200	1,217,300	1,323,800
Professional and Outside Services	45,400	33,000	33,000
Travel	146,800	113,700	113,700
Other Operating Expenditures	1,076,600	978,600	977,500
Equipment	182,300	20,000	20,000
Lump Sum Reduction	0	0	(333,500)
<b>TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS</b>	<b>10,475,500</b>	<b>10,672,200</b>	<b>10,601,100</b> <sup>2/</sup>
<b>FUND SOURCES</b>			
General Fund	10,475,500	10,672,200	10,601,100
<b>TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS</b>	<b>10,475,500</b>	<b>10,672,200</b>	<b>10,601,100</b>

**AGENCY DESCRIPTION** — *The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction in all proceedings appealable from the Superior Court except criminal death penalty cases, which are automatically appealed to the Supreme Court. Division I also has statewide responsibility for reviewing appeals from the Arizona Corporation Commission, Industrial Commission, the Department of Economic Security unemployment compensation rulings, and the Arizona Tax Court. Division I convenes in Phoenix and encompasses the counties of Apache, Coconino, La Paz, Maricopa, Mohave, Navajo, Yavapai, and Yuma. Division II convenes in Tucson and encompasses the counties of Cochise, Gila, Graham, Greenlee, Pima, Pinal, and Santa Cruz.*

**Division I**

<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002-03
	Est./Actual	Est./Actual	Est./Actual	Estimate
• All cases on file	4,450/4,731	4,731/4,744	4,744/4,345	4,744
• Cases pending at the end of the year	1,581/2,274	2,274/1,918	1,918/1,823	1,918
• Cases upheld upon review	NA	NA	NA	Baseline
• Customer satisfaction rating for settlement program (Scale 1-8)	NA	NA	NA	6.0

<sup>1/</sup> Of the 136.3 FTE Positions for FY 2003, 100.4 FTE Positions are for Division I and 35.9 FTE Positions are for Division II. (General Appropriation Act footnote)

<sup>2/</sup> General Appropriation Act (Laws 2002, Chapter 327) funds are appropriated as a Lump Sum by Subprogram.

**Division II**

<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002-03
	Est./Actual	Est./Actual	Est./Actual	Estimate
• All cases on file	2,163/2,411	2,411/2,412	2,412/2,213	2,412
• Cases pending at the end of the year	772/1,265	1,265/1,101	1,101/1,041	1,101
• Cases upheld upon review	NA	NA	NA	Baseline
• Customer satisfaction rating for settlement program (Scale 1-8)	NA	NA	NA	6.0

**Unfunded FTE Positions** — The approved amount includes a General Fund decrease of (4.2) FTE Positions below FY 2002 due to the statewide elimination of unfunded FTE Positions. For details on the methodology used to calculate the reduction, please see the *General Provisions* section at the front of the *Appropriations Report*.

[Click here to return to the Table of Contents](#)